

Optimization of foliar nutrition and nipping for quality of pigeonpea [*Cajanuscajan* (L). Millsp.]

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in red sandy clay loam soil at UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru during *kharif* to (*kharifto*) know the influence of foliar nutrition and nipping on crop growth, seed yield and quality in pigeonpea. The experiment replicated six times in split plot design with treatments of foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (WSF) with three different concentration F₀ (100 % RDF), F₁ (75 % RDF + 25 % WSF (19: 19:19)), F₂ (75 % RDF + 12.5 % WSF (19: 19:19)) in combination with nipping (N₁) and no nipping (N₀). The results revealed that seed quality parameters *viz.*, germination (85.75 %) mean seedling length (48.28 cm), mean seedling dry weight (47.33 mg), seedling vigour index-I (4137), seedling vigour index -II (4112), lower electrical conductivity (1.07 mS ppt⁻¹), total dehydrogenase activity (3.30 A_{480nm}) and total soluble protein (23.19 %) recorded higher in F₂N₁ (75 % RDF + 12.5 % WSF (19: 19:19) + nipping) over control (81.42 %, 44.59 cm, 41.67 mg seedling⁻¹, 3724, 3346, 1.44 mS ppt⁻¹, 2.22 A_{480nm}, 20.22 %,.) respectively.

Keywords: Foliar nutrition, nipping, pigeonpea, water soluble fertilizer,

Introduction

Pulses are the wonderful gift of nature. They provide vital protein and vitamins in the diet. Pulse form a cheapest and major source of dietary protein especially for vegetarians who form a major part of our population. The UN general assembly declared 2016 as the **International Year of Pulses**. This reflects the importance of pulses in global concerns regarding food security, preserving cultural heritage and sustainable development. It provides unprecedented opportunity to raise awareness and to celebrate the role of beans, chickpea, pigeonpea and other pulses in feeding the world. Pigeonpea [*Cajanuscajan*(L.) Millsp.] is a perennial crop native to Africa, belongs to family *Fabaceae*. It is also known as no-eye pea,

gungo pea in Jamaica, tropical green pea and arhar in India (Anon., 2017)[1]. It is one of the protein rich legumes of the semi-arid tropics grown predominantly under rainfed conditions. It is cultivated throughout the tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world, between 30°N and 35°S latitudes. However, major area under pigeonpea in India is lying between 14° and 28° N latitudes. Pigeonpea accounts for about 11.8 % of the total pulse area and 17 % of total pulse production in the country. In India the total area coverage and production of pigeonpea were 38.35 lakh hectares and 29.92 lakh tonnes respectively.

Seed replacement rate (SRR) is a criterion to assess the use of certified and/or quality seed of a crop and gives an indication of area under quality seeds. The SRR in pigeonpea is 50 % lower than recommended in 2014-15. So, it is important to intensify the SRR that helps in enhancing productivity of the crop. Which has been suggested that 40 % SRR would be appropriate for achieving higher productivity in pulses (Chauhan *et al.*, 2016)[2]. The treatment foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer in combination nipping can increase the production of quality seeds and can help to provide sufficient quality seeds to the farmer.

Application of foliar nutrients along with soil application has several benefits in supplementing the nutritional requirements to crops. Foliar nutrient spray is designed to exclude the problems like immobilization and fixation of nutrients. Hence, foliar nourishment recognized as an important method of fertilization in modern-day agriculture. This method provides for exploitation of nutrients more efficiently and for correcting deficiencies rapidly. Foliar spray of macronutrients is most important factor in determining the yield (Reddy *et al.*, 2010)[3]. In almost all the pulses, flower drop determines the yield and yield attributing characters. Retention of flowers that are produced by the plant helps realize higher yield than expected.

Nipping of young tender top shoots though traditionally practiced by the farmer but its associated beneficial effects are not scientifically documented. Apical bud nipping is known to alter the source-sink relationship by arresting the vegetative growth and hastening the reproductive phase. It also helps in production of more pod bearing branches with luxuriant foliage thus, enhances the photosynthetic activity, accumulation of more photosynthates, ultimately resulting in better seed quality with higher seed yield (Thakralet *et al.*, 1991)[4](**This reference is not found in the References chapter**).

Material and method

The field experiment was carried out during *kharifat* Zonal Agricultural Research Station (ZARS), University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru. The experiment consist of six treatment combinations they are as follows F_0N_0 : Recommended dose of fertilizer 25: 50: 25 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (100 % RDF) + No nipping, F_1N_0 : 18.75: 37.5: 18.75 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (75 % RDF) + 25 % Foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (19: 19: 19) at 45 and 75 DAS + No nipping, F_2N_0 : 18.75: 37.5: 18.75 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (75 % RDF) + 12.5 % Foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (19: 19: 19) at 45 and 75 DAS + No nipping, F_0N_1 : Recommended dose of fertilizer 25: 50: 25 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (100 % RDF) + Nipping, F_1N_1 : 18.75: 37.5: 18.75 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (75 % RDF) + 25 % Foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (19: 19: 19) at 45 and 75 DAS + Nipping, F_2N_1 : 18.75: 37.5: 18.75 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (75 % RDF) + 12.5 % Foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (19: 19: 19) at 45 and 75 DAS + Nipping, laid out in split plot design with six replications. The following parameters were recorded 100 seed weight, Seed moisture (%), Seed Germination (%), Mean seedling length (cm), Mean seedling dry weight per seedling (mg), Seedling Vigour Index-I, Seedling Vigour Index- II, Electrical conductivity (mS ppt⁻¹), Total dehydrogenase activity (TDH) ($A_{480\text{ nm}}$), Total soluble seed protein (%).

Result and discussion

(Why were vegetative measurements not separated from chemical estimates in the results?)

The data pertaining to the quality of seed as effected (affected) by foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer and nipping represented in table 1. Significant difference was recorded among the treatments of foliar application and nipping for quality parameter. Higher hundred seed weight (12.99, 12.91 g), Seed Germination (84.63, 85.03%), Mean seedling length (47.61, 47.34 cm), Mean seedling dry weight per seedling (45.33, 45.03 mg), Seedling Vigour Index-I (4000, 4016), Seedling Vigour Index-II (3785, 3887), Total dehydrogenase activity (3.10, 3.21 $A_{480\text{ nm}}$), Total soluble seed protein (22.61, 22.20 %), lower Electrical conductivity (1.07, 1.08 mS ppt⁻¹) recorded in foliar application of 12.5 % WSF and nipped plants respectively and lower in control hundred seed weight (12.30, 12.45 g), Seed Germination (82.63, 82.72%), Mean seedling length (45.34, 45.84 cm), Mean seedling dry weight per seedling (42.67, 45.84 mg), Seedling Vigour Index-I (3795, 3805), Seedling Vigour Index-II (3512, 3441), Total dehydrogenase activity (2.66,

2.58 A_{480 nm}), Total soluble seed protein (21.22, 21.28 %), higher Electrical conductivity (1.27, 1.21 mS ppt⁻¹). No significant difference was recorded for seed moisture.

Significant difference was recorded in interaction of foliar application and nipping for hundred seed weight(13.08 g), germination (85.75 %), mean seedling length (48.28 cm) and mean seedling dry weightseedling⁻¹ (47.33 mg). seedling vigour index-I (4137), seedling vigour index-II (4112) and total soluble protein (23.19 %) recorded higher in F₂N₁and lower in F₀N₀ (11.96 g, 81.42 %, 44.59 cm, 41.67 mg,3724, 3346, 20.72 %)respectively. However, lower electrical conductivity was recorded in F₂N₁ (1.07 mS ppt⁻¹) and higher in control (1.44 mS ppt⁻¹). And it was inverse with Total Dehydrogenase Activity i.e. higher recorded in F₂N₁ (3.30A_{480 nm}) and lower in control (2.22A_{480 nm}).

The higher seed quality parameters noticed with nipping at proper stage and foliar nutrition may be due to increased photosynthetic area leading to higher photosynthetic rate, better assimilation and accumulation of more photosynthates that might resulted in better seed development as evident with higher test weightVijaysinghet *al.*, (2017)[5](This reference is not found in the References chapter). The better development of seed owing to greater accumulation of storage reserves, which inturn have utilized for germination and seedling growth resulted in maximum seedling length. As there has been better seedling growth, which might have lead to increased in mean seedling dry weight Sudeep Kumar (2010)[6] (This reference is not found in the References chapter) in fieldbean. The higher nitrogen supply through foliar application at different crop growth stages resulted in enhancement of protein content of seeds, suggesting that hydrocarbons synthesized during photosynthetic process are diverted to form more proteins and nipping also helps to divert photosynthates to the sink and might increasedthe protein content of the seeds.Venkata Reddy *et al.* (1997)[7](This reference is not found in the References chapter)andSajjanet *al.* (2003)[8](This reference is not found in the References chapter) in okra, Sudarshan (2004) [9] in fenugreek.

Table 1: Influence of foliar nutrition and nipping on 100 seed weight, seed moisture germination, mean seedling length and mean seedling dry weight in pigeonpea cv. BRG-2

Treatments	100 seed weight	Seed moisture (%)	Germination (%)	Mean seedling length (cm)	Mean seedling dry weight seedling ⁻¹ (mg)
				Is the seedling length	

				normal?	
Main plot (nutrient management)					
F ₀	12.30	8.84	82.63	45.34	42.67
F ₁	12.75	8.93	84.38	46.82	43.33
F ₂	12.99	8.96	84.63	47.61	45.33
S.Em±	0.06	0.03	0.44	0.51	0.59
CD (p=0.05)	0.17	NS	1.29	1.51	1.73
Sub plot (nipping)					
N ₀	12.45	8.87	82.72	45.84	42.50
N ₁	12.91	8.95	85.03	47.34	45.06
S.Em±	0.05	0.03	0.47	0.36	0.52
CD (p=0.05)	0.19	NS	1.70	1.322	1.87
Interaction					
F ₀ N ₀	11.96	8.83	81.42	44.59	41.67
F ₁ N ₀	12.51	8.83	83.25	45.99	42.50
F ₂ N ₀	12.89	8.93	83.50	46.94	43.33
F ₀ N ₁	12.65	8.85	83.83	46.09	43.67
F ₁ N ₁	13.00	9.03	85.50	47.65	44.17
F ₂ N ₁	13.08	8.98	85.75	48.28	47.33
Different levels of F means at the same or different levels of N					
S.Em±	0.08	0.05	0.62	0.72	0.83
CD (p=0.05)	0.24		1.83	2.14	2.45
Different levels of F means at the different levels of N					
S.Em±	0.09	0.05	0.69	0.69	0.85
CD (p=0.05)	0.28	NS	2.25	2.18	2.73
CV (%)	1.60	1.33	2.36	3.81	4.65

Main plot treatment (nutrient management)

F₀: Recommended dose of fertilizer 25: 50: 25 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (100 % RDF).

F₁: 18.75: 37.5: 18.75 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (75 % RDF) + 25 % Foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (19: 19: 19) at 45 and 75 DAS.

F₂: 18.75: 37.5: 18.75 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (75% RDF) + 12.5 % Foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (19: 19: 19) at 45 and 75 DAS.

Sub plot treatments (nipping)

N₀: No nipping

N₁: Nipping at 45-60 DAS

Table 2: Influence of foliar nutrition and nipping on SVI-I, SVI-II, Electrical Conductivity, Total dehydrogenase activity and Total Soluble Seed Protein content (Protein content) in pigeonpea cv. BRG-2

Treatments	SVI-I	SVI-II	Electrical	Total	Total Soluble
------------	-------	--------	------------	-------	---------------

			Conductivity (mS ppt ⁻¹)	dehydrogenase activity (Where is the discrimination?)	Seed Protein (%)
Main plot (nutrient management)					
F ₀	3795	3512	1.27	2.66	21.22
F ₁	3937	3694	1.10	2.92	21.39
F ₂	4000	3785	1.07	3.10	22.61
S.Em±	44.35	50.26	0.02	0.03	0.29
CD (p=0.05)	130.8	148.3	0.05	0.10	0.85
Sub plot (nipping)					
N ₀	3805	3441	1.21	2.58	21.28
N ₁	4016	3887	1.08	3.21	22.20
S.Em±	40.08	34.08	0.03	0.03	0.09
CD (p=0.05)	145.7	123.9	0.09	0.11	0.33
Interaction					
F ₀ N ₀	3724	3346	1.44	2.22	20.72
F ₁ N ₀	3829	3519	1.11	2.62	21.07
F ₂ N ₀	3863	3458	1.08	2.89	22.04
F ₀ N ₁	3865	3678	1.10	3.10	21.73
F ₁ N ₁	4045	3869	1.09	3.22	21.70
F ₂ N ₁	4137	4112	1.07	3.30	23.19
S.Em±	62.72	71.07	0.03	0.05	0.41
CD (p=0.05)	185.02	209.6	0.08	0.14	1.20
S.Em±	65.03	67.3	0.04	0.05	3.44
CD (p=0.05)	208.7	210.3	0.12	0.16	1.03
CV (%)	4.35	4.75	4.98	4.04	4.58

Main plot treatment (nutrient management)

F₀: Recommended dose of fertilizer 25: 50: 25 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (100 % RDF).

F₁: 18.75: 37.5: 18.75 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (75 % RDF) + 25 % Foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (19: 19: 19) at 45 and 75 DAS.

F₂: 18.75: 37.5: 18.75 kg NPK ha⁻¹ (75% RDF) + 12.5 % Foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer (19: 19: 19) at 45 and 75 DAS.

Sub plot treatments (nipping)

N₀: No nipping

N₁: Nipping at 45-60 DAS

Reference

(References must be numbered as they are within the text)

ANONYMOUS (2017).Agritech.tnau.ac.in.redgram(*Cajanuscajan (L.) Millsp.*).

- CHAUHAN JS, SINGH BB AND SANJEEV GUPTA (2016). Enhancing pulse production in India through improving seed and variety replacement rates. *Indian J. Genet.*, **76**(4): 1-11.
- REDDY M, PADMAJA B, MALATHI S AND JALAPATHI RAO L (2010). Effect of micronutrients on growth and yield of pigeonpea. *J. Environ. Biol.*, **31**(6):933-937.
- SAJJAN AS SHEKHARGOUDA M AND BADANUR VP (2002). Influence of apical pinching and fruit picking on growth and seed yield of okra. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **15**(2): 367-372.
- SUDARSHAN JS (2004). Influence of apical bud pinching, chemicals spray and physiological maturity on seed yield and quality of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graceum* L.). *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. of Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India)*.
- SUDEEP KUMAR E, CHANNAVEERSWAMI AS, MERWADE MN, RUDRA NAIK V AND KRISHNA A (2010). Influence of nipping and hormonal sprays on growth and seed yield in field bean [*Lablab purpureus*(L.) Sweet] genotypes. *Int. J. Econ. Plants.*, **5**(1): 8-14.
- THAKRAL KK, SINGH GR, PANDEY UC AND SRIVASTAVA VK (1991). Effect of nitrogen levels and cutting on the production of green leaves and seed yield of coriander cv. Natural selection. *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res.*, **22**(1): 35-39.
- VENKATA REDDY DM, CHANDRASHEKARA BHAT P AND CHANDRASHEKHAR R (1997). Effect of apical pinching and fruit thinning on yield and seed quality in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Seed Res.*, **25**(1): 41-44.
- VIJAYSINGH THAKUR, PATIL RP, PATIL JR, SUMA TC AND UMESH MR (2017). Influence of foliar nutrition on growth and yield of blackgram under rainfed condition. *J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochem.*, **6**(6): 33-37.