

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_119952
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of foliar nutrition and nipping for quality of pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L). Millsp.]
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1- The manuscript is scientifically important and contains some useful research estimates, But it is missing some modifications, which I mentioned in the text of the manuscript</p> <p>2- The title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3- The abstract of the article comprehensive.</p> <p>4- It is not appropriate and some modifications have been suggested within the text of the manuscript.</p> <p>5- Yes, I think to some extent the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- There are two problems with the references, which I mentioned within the text</p> <p>There are no additional suggestions other than I hope to make the amendments mentioned within the text</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmed Mohamed Abd El All Sayedahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Menofia University, Egypt</b>