

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_120485</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comprehensive Review of the Effect of Climate Change on Sericulture</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>While the manuscript aims to address a significant issue—climate change's impact on sericulture—it fails to provide new insights or actionable data. The content largely reiterates well-known concepts without offering novel contributions or practical applications. As such, its value to the scientific community is limited, and it does not advance our understanding of the subject.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript title lacks comprehensiveness and depth, failing to cover crucial aspects of the topic.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is overly general and does not provide specific findings or conclusions. It fails to highlight any original research or significant insights. The abstract should be revised to include specific points or, given the manuscript's deficiencies, may need to be completely restructured to reflect more rigorous research outcomes.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured, with clear subsections that guide the reader through the various aspects of climate change's impact on sericulture.</p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically weak. It lacks empirical data, experimental results, figures, tables, and illustrations that are essential for supporting the claims made. The arguments presented are not substantiated by robust evidence, making the manuscript technically unsound.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are insufficient and outdated. The manuscript fails to cite recent and relevant studies that could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the topic. Additional references from the past five years should be included to enhance the manuscript's credibility and relevance.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>A thorough proofreading and editing process is necessary to improve readability and professionalism.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript requires substantial revisions before it can be considered for publication. The lack of data, figures, tables, and illustrations significantly undermines its credibility and usefulness. The authors need to conduct more rigorous research, present empirical evidence, and improve the manuscript's overall structure and language quality.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>N. Senthil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>