

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_120438
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of the Coefficients "a" and "b" of the K-R Relationship for Accurate Measurement of Water Quantity Using Cellular Links in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Accepted

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>Personally, I appreciate this manuscript for its rigorous methodology and clear presentation of data, which enhance its credibility and reliability. However, there may be some aspects that could benefit from further clarification or additional context to ensure broader comprehensibility and impact.</i>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<i>The article's title's appropriateness is contingent upon its ability to accurately represent the content and scope of the manuscript. Consequently, the article is generally more advantageous; however, its novelty is not a factor.</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<i>Author should add something novel in the abstract and manuscript so that a more thorough review of the current literature is needed to establish the novelty of the work</i>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>There is a gap between the background and literature review in the introduction part and authors work. After reading the introduction part, readers are not sure what the current issues are and why/how authors' work can address these issues. Poor organization, clarity, or language issues that impede understanding.</i>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	<i>Author should add some recent references in the manuscript.</i>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<i>Improve the grammar in the part of introduction and conclusion</i>	
Optional/General comments	<i>Mention the importance of the comparison table to the manuscript and the readers.</i>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aditya Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Noida International University, India