

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_119103</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparing four sets of 5-year literature in the last 2 decades to further strengthen epidemiological studies on melioidosis in India with a note on family inclusive capacity building strategy</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article is a review work on <i>Comparing four sets of 5-year literature in the last 2 decades to further strengthen epidemiological studies on melioidosis in India with a note on family inclusive capacity building strategy</i>. It contributes to the literature compilation aspect of medical sciences and environmental epidemiology. Overall, it is a promising article and may be accepted after the suggested changes have been implemented.</li> <li>2. The title of the article is suitable. Though another title suggestion for the author(s) could be "Towards Family-Centered Melioidosis Management: Insights from a 20-Year Review of Literature in India"</li> <li>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</li> <li>4. Additional subsections titled Recommendations and Future Scope of Study may be added in the manuscript.</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. References are recent and sufficient.</li> </ol> <p><u>Additional comments:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The author(s) should format the entire article as aligned "Justify" rather than aligned "left".</li> <li>ii. At a lot of places in the article there are errors in typing like standard deviation should be written as SD or Std. Dev. rather than St.Dev . Similarly, et al., should be written as for example Rao et al., 2022 rather than Rao et al.,2022</li> <li>iii. The Method section should be renamed as Methodology Section. The content of this entire part should be in Introduction section as literature review. Here a concise description of Methodology as shown in chart 1 (to be renamed as Figure 1) should be written.</li> <li>iv. In table 1 last column 2<sup>nd</sup> row, Total 6 should be written.</li> <li>v. The suggested policies part in conclusion section may be renamed as a separate section named Recommendations.</li> <li>vi. The author(s) should add sections pertaining to Recommendations and Future scope of the study after the conclusion section, describing in brief how this current research can help in developing future melioidosis research in India and related medical policies.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Avdesh Bhardawaj</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>