

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_119103</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparing four sets of 5-year literature in the last 2 decades to further strengthen epidemiological studies on melioidosis in India with a note on family inclusive capacity building strategy</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. I think the title is appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract should provide a clear and concise summary of the study, including background, methods, results, and conclusions. Currently, it lacks clarity and structure.</p> <p>4. a- "Method: This article of ours focuses on literature retrieved from Pub Med with two search criteria "Melioidosis prevalence India" and "Melioidosis risk factor India" from 2019-2024 2014-2019 2009-2014 2004-2009." should be "Methods: This article focuses on literature retrieved from PubMed using two search criteria, 'Melioidosis prevalence India' and 'Melioidosis risk factor India', covering the periods 2019-2024, 2014-2019, 2009-2014, and 2004-2009."</p> <p>b- The introduction should be revised to provide a more coherent overview of the importance of studying melioidosis in India, with a clear statement of the research objectives.</p> <p>c. Figures and tables should be better integrated into the text, with each one clearly referenced and its significance explained. The quality and readability of some figures, such as Figure 6, should be improved.</p> <p>d. The discussion section could be expanded to provide a more in-depth analysis of the findings. The manuscript should discuss the implications of the results in the context of existing literature, highlight the significance of new findings, and suggest directions for future research.</p> <p>5. There is considerable repetition of information throughout the manuscript. For instance, the background information about melioidosis and its risk factors is repeated in multiple sections. This redundancy could be reduced to make the manuscript more concise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Organize the results section more logically, grouping similar findings together and clearly referencing tables and figures.</li> <li>- Expand the discussion to critically evaluate the findings, compare them with previous studies, and discuss the implications for public health and future research.</li> <li>- Ensure consistent formatting throughout the manuscript, including headings, subheadings, and reference style.</li> <li>- The conclusion should succinctly summarize the main findings and their implications, avoiding unnecessary detail.</li> </ul> <p>7. Some references are incomplete or incorrectly formatted. Ensuring all citations are properly formatted according to journal guidelines is crucial.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The manuscript contains several grammatical errors, typos, and poorly constructed sentences that affect readability and comprehension. For example: "This article of ours focuses on literature..." could be revised to "This article focuses on literature..."</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aqeel Ismael Ghani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Koya University, Iraq</b>