

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_118967
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Willingness to Sell Vegetation in the White Volta Basin in Northern Ghana
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched with positive economic and financial implications</p> <p>The paper overall is clear and is validated with relevant citations. The text is simple, easy to follow, and streamlined.</p> <p>The trail of thoughts is streamlined and validated with relevant references.</p> <p>It needs proofreading and editing [See attached Reviewed, marked-up, and commented Manuscript]</p> <p>The abstract is adequate. It includes the different necessary parts to make it representative of the paper's content.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction is adequate and is supported with enough relevant and updated references. Hence, as is, serves the paper's objectives.</p> <p>The methodology is addressed clearly. Showing the proposed model's formulas with clarity of definitions of the variables. See suggestions.</p> <p>The results are clear, and well-presented, in Tables and Figures. These support the discussion of the findings.</p> <p>The discussion is adequate, however, needs good proofreading and editing [see all suggested marked changes] It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research</p> <p>Are there any limitations to the research??? Future work????</p> <p>Review carefully THE WRITE-UP OF THE REFERENCES FOR CONSISTENCY AND COMPLETENESS, and match the Journal's requirements.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon