

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120491
Title of the Manuscript:	CLASSROOM LEADERSHIP COMMUNICATION CAPABILITIES AND COMMUNAL INTERFACE OF TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript offers a through and insightful analysis, drawing on specific passages to support its claims. Its data and conclusions are important for the scientific community, because it refers to a modern issue with many dimensions. After all, the concept of communication is an essential skill for both education staff and teachers to be able to work together with the conclusions of the present work proving to be a starting point with a view to conducting research on a larger scale. This is an article with excellent coherence, sufficient use of the literature with the results of the research coming through and being confirmed through the theoretical part and if it is based on a survey well adapted to the percentage data used.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable and relevant to its content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive with any further suggestion or deletion to be suggested.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Totally appropriate.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Right choice of quantitative tool to investigate the specific correlation, sufficient literature, useful conclusions for the educational community which can be the starting point for conducting a survey with a larger sample.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	All references cited relevant and adequate.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	This is an article with quality content, good choice of research tool, correct analysis of the data and useful conclusions for the educational community that can be published as such.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikolaos Psyrras
Department, University & Country	Aegean University, Greece