

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_120106
Title of the Manuscript:	Myocardial Infarction: Causes, Symptoms, and Emergency Response
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes the research paper will be an important manuscript for the scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A review for grammatical and spelling mistakes needs to be taken prior to publication. Abstract needs to be revised as the abstract needs to be inline with the findings of the research work	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract section should give a bird's eye view of the research work conducted by the author. Second the statement need to be reviewed like a statement mentioned in the abstract "One must identify its symptoms for quick intervention" can be rewritten as "One needs to identify its symptoms for quick intervention".	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The literature review section has not been written in the research paper and the same needs to be written in order to maintain the standards of the journal.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The author needs to cite the references mention with the statements of finding mentioned in the literature review section.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A review for grammatical and spelling errors needs to be carried out before publication.	
Optional/General comments	The sentences mentioned in different sections of the research work should be connected in order to improve the readability of the research paper. Statistical tools should be used to justify the findings of the research work. References have not been cited properly, uniform method, and the author needs to add references which are relevant.	

Review Form 1.8

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudasir M. Kirmani
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, India