

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_120165
Title of the Manuscript:	Pigmented Rice: A Source of Health and Longevity
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes, it covers production, health effects, current efforts (like chiffon cakes) and references.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes. Readers could learn further from references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	I would recommend "The Potential Health Benefits of Brown Rice" DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.107543	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	If the authors could add one or two tables of composition and health effects, it becomes more easy for comprehensive understanding.	

Review Form 1.8

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaw Watanabe
Department, University & Country	Tokyo University of Agriculture, Japan