

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_120379
Title of the Manuscript:	A 10 Year Review of the Impact of Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission of HIV in a Tertiary Health Centre, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, I like the manuscript, The most prominent route of HIV infection in children is mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and the study helps contribute to the evaluation of the impact/effectiveness of HIV prevention programmes (PMTCT).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it's suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study contributes to the evaluation of the impact/effectiveness of HIV prevention programmes (PMTCT), and the research technique is appropriate for the study's objectives.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	YES	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it's suitable	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ritika Rocque
Department, University & Country	All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS) Raipur, India