

GROUNDWATER QUALITY OF REGIONS SURROUNDING THE NOYYAL RIVER IN TIRUPPUR DISTRICT AND ITS IMPACT ON AGRICULTURE

ABSTRACT

A public policy is in response to public real-world problem. Its relevance is important as well. One such policy is control of pollution problem in rivers. In this connection, an evidence is focused here by a research study regarding Noyyal river pollution in Tiruppur district of Tamil Nadu state in India. The Noyyal river is one of the non-perennial rivers flowing in the eastern part of Tamil Nadu predominantly in Tiruppur district. Its river basin including groundwater, gradually became deteriorated due to discharge of industrial effluents (pollution) over years in the river till the year 2011 after which ZLD policy is under practice in complete stoppage of pollution. In this study the groundwater quality and its impact on the area surrounding the Noyyal river in Avinashi and Palladam block of Tiruppur district after implementation of ZLD has been evaluated. The selected block is divided into 3 regions based on the distance from the river, with 40 sample farms from each region contributing to a total 120 samples. Based on the farmers opinion the study found that the quality of water was very poor in the closer region as it tastes to be poor (salty) and unfit for use. However, in distant region the water tastes good. The results can be proved with the results of groundwater samples in the study area with high amount of salt contents (Ca, Mg, Na, K, Cl, etc.,). Constructed water quality index is also high (<75%) in the closer region showing the high pollution intensity. The study concludes that pollution caused by the dyeing industries in the groundwater still exists in the study area and thus recommends to allot a small area of land under farm ponds to store good quality of water during rains; thereby ensuring percolation of rainwater

to improve the quality of groundwater and thereby enabling the ecosystem for agricultural improvement.

Keywords: Noyyal river, groundwater pollution, quality, agriculture.

INTRODUCTION

Ground water is the very good source for drinking and irrigation, because of the purification properties of the soil. In arid and dry zones, ground water becomes the major source of water (Fried, 1975). Now-a-days ground water quality is being deteriorated due to several industrial and man-made activities. It includes discharge of industrial waste water, tannery waste, etc., into river basins. Such wastes not only deteriorate the quality of river water, the polluted water percolates into ground and causes groundwater pollution (Karpagam, 1991).

Ground water contamination is the result of polluted water infiltrating through the soil and rock and eventually reaching the ground water. This process might take many years and might take place at a distance from the well where the contamination is found. Once the ground water is contaminated, it is very difficult to remediate. (Geetha *et al.*, 2008). Effluent discharge from industries is likely to affect the quality of the groundwater.

Most of the dyeing units discharge the untreated effluent into the land which ultimately pollutes the ground water and makes it unfit for drinking. (Noel and Rajan, 2015). The dye effluent is highly toxic in nature as it contains high suspended solid, COD, dye and chemicals along with high concentration of heavy metals like Cu, Cd, Zn Ni and Pb. The dye effluent contaminates the surface and ground water, thereby, making it unfit for irrigation and drinking (Mathur and Bhatnagar, 2007).

A public policy is in response to public real-world problem. Its relevance is important as well. One such policy is control of pollution problem in rivers. In this connection, an evidence is focused here by a research study regarding Noyyal river pollution in Tiruppur district of Tamil Nadu state in India. The Noyyal river is one of the non-perennial rivers flowing in the eastern part of Tamil Nadu predominantly in Tiruppur district. Its river basin including Groundwater, gradually became deteriorated due to discharge of industrial effluents (pollution) over years in the river till the year 2011, after which ZLD policy is under practice in complete stoppage of pollution.

ZLD - Zero Liquid Discharge policy means, no dyeing waste water is to be discharged into the river instead it has to be purified and reused by the industry itself, in order to control pollution in the Noyyal river as ordered by the High Court in the year 2011. Because of this, the pollution by industries in the river was stopped. But, the effect of pollution caused prior to the year 2011 still continues even now particularly in groundwater which affects groundwater irrigated crops as for agriculture (Akilan, 2016 and Babu *et al.*, 2017) is concerned, as groundwater pollution is very difficult to be remediated. For improvement of the irrigation and sustainable groundwater use, this is a hindrance.

Also, this poses negative impacts in agriculture and economics till now. This paved a way to attempt a research work, which helps us to know the current status of pollution and its effect. Specific objectives of one such study are, 1) To rank the farmers opinion regarding the quality of groundwater in terms of taste, appearance and use among the sample farms to develop an index for water quality and 2) To analyse the impact of water quality on agriculture in the sample farms.

DESIGN OF THE STUDY

Area selection

Avinashi and Palladam blocks of Tiruppur district is purposively selected, which is suitable to find the present status of groundwater pollution. The reason is that among the blocks through which Noyyal flows in Tiruppur district, Avinashi and Palladam block has a larger area under groundwater irrigation.

Sampling design

The study area is divided into three different regions based on the distance of the sample farms from the Noyyal river, in order to find the present status of groundwater pollution and its impact on agriculture. The regions are lesser than 1 km from the river (closer region), 1-3 km from the river (middle region) and greater than 3 km from the river (distant region). Under each category, a quota of 40 farmers were selected randomly and hence the total sample size constitutes 120 farmers. The primary data was collected from the sample farm households with the help of well-structured, pre-tested interview schedules, bearing questions in relation to the objectives of the study.

Methodology

Water Quality Index

Water Quality Index is constructed using the primary data collected during the survey among the farm households. The farmers were asked to rate water quality based upon the parameters like taste, appearance and use. Based on the ratings of farmers water quality using 3-point scale as follows.

$$WQI = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 t_i + a_i + u_i}{\text{maximum score of } 9} \right) \times 100 \text{ ----- (1)}$$

Where,

‘t’ refers taste – (Poor – 3, Medium – 2, Normal – 1)

‘a’ refers appearance – (Bad – 3, Medium – 2, Good – 1)

‘u’ refers use – (Agriculture – 3, Household and agriculture – 2, Drinking, household and agriculture – 1)

In addition, six water samples were collected and given for analysis in the soil testing laboratory of Tiruppur district and the results were tabulated. Two samples from each category (one from bore well and the other from open well) was taken for analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Farmers opinion on groundwater quality in the study area

The wells are mainly used for irrigation by the sample farmers, as most of the living houses are attached to farms. Hence the parameters like taste, appearance and use of groundwater are considered in this study for farmers opinion and the results are tabulated below

Table – 1 Farmers opinion on groundwater quality in the study area

S.No	Particulars	Farm location from Noyyal river					
		<1km (Closer region)		1-3km (Middle region)		>3km (Distant region)	
		No. of farmer	Percentage	No. of farmer	Percentage	No. of farmer	Percentage
1.	Taste						
	Poor	33	82.50	1	2.50	-	-
	Medium	7	17.50	34	85.00	10	25.00
	Normal	-	-	5	12.50	30	75.00
	Total	40	100.00	40	100.00	40	100.00
2.	Appearance						
	Bad	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Medium	29	72.50	3	7.50	-	-
	Good	11	27.50	37	92.50	40	100.00

	Total	40	100.00	40	100.00	40	100.00
3.	Use						
	Agriculture	40	100.00	21	52.50	-	-
	Household	-	-	19	47.50	26	65.00
	Drinking	-	-	-	-	14	35.00
	Total	40	100.00	40	100.00	40	100.00

It could be observed from Table – 1, in the closer region, all the parameters of groundwater quality were in poor quality. On the contrary, it was good in the regions far from the river based on farmers opinion. Taste is one of the most important parameters of water. In closer region 82.50 per cent of the sample respondents rated poor (taste) indicating the poor quality of groundwater. In middle region 85.00 per cent of the sample respondents rated the taste as medium, 2.50 per cent rated as poor and 12.50 per cent as normal. However, in distant region 75.00 per cent of the sample respondents rated taste as normal, only 25.00 per cent as medium and no poor taste was reported.

Secondly, using the appearance the groundwater was rated among the sample respondents. No one rated the water appearance as bad in all the three regions. According to 72.50 per cent of the sample farms the appearance was medium and 27.50 per cent of the sample farms were as good in the closer region. In distant region all the respondents rated the appearance as good. This is because of the reason that the water is salty in nature alone and when it comes to appearance it is not as bad as dyeing water.

Thirdly, based upon the usage, the groundwater was rated. 100 per cent of the respondent used ground water only for agriculture and no other use was noticed in the closer region. They also stated that the groundwater is very poor in their region and unfit for agriculture, however there is no other source for irrigation, the groundwater is being used. In middle region groundwater is being used for agriculture (52.50 per cent) and other household purposes (47.50 per cent). In distant

region the groundwater is being used for drinking also. 35.00 per cent of the sample respondent uses the water for drinking, household and agriculture. 65.00 per cent uses for agriculture and household purposes.

It could be concluded that the quality of water was very poor in the closer region as it tastes to be poor (salty) and unfit for use. However, in distant region the water tastes good and is used for all three purposes. It could be concluded that groundwater quality based on taste, appearance and use was very poor in closer region and distant region having good groundwater quality with few limitations.

Construction of Water Quality Index using farmers rating

Water Quality index was constructed using farmers rating as three grades of water quality viz., good, medium and poor. The results were presented in the table below. Low water Quality index indicating less pollution (< 50 per cent) and high water Quality Index indicating high pollution (76 – 100 per cent).

Table – 2. Water Quality Index using farmers rating

WQI	Farm location from Noyyal river					
	<1km (Closer region)		1-3km (Middle region)		>3km (Distant region)	
	No. of farmers	Mean	No. of farmers	Mean	No. of farmers	Mean
Poor (76-100 %)	40 (100.00)	83.89	1 (2.50)	77.78	-	-
Medium (50 – 75 %)	-	-	35 (87.50)	62.54	10 (25.00)	55.56
Good (< 50 %)	-	-	4 (10.00)	44.44	30 (75.00)	39.26
Total	40 (100.00)		40 (100.00)		40 (100.00)	

Note: Figures in the parentheses indicate the percentage share to the total.

It could be inferred from Table -2, that water quality index constructed using the farmers rating were very high for all the groundwater samples in the closer region. The average value is about 83.89 per cent in closer region and it lies in poor grade. In middle region all three grades of water quality were found. Only one sample water quality falls under a poor region with 77.78 percent of water quality index. 35 groundwater samples (87.50 per cent) come under medium grade with an average of 62.54 per cent and 4 samples fall under good grade with an average water quality of 44.44 percent. The water samples in distant region fall under medium and good grades. 30 samples in good grade and 10 samples fall in medium grade with 39.26 per cent and 55.56 per cent of water quality index respectively. It can also be stated that lower the value of water quality index, higher is its quality and vice versa. The results could be interpreted that farms located more than 3km from the river had very less or no pollution, groundwater pollution had been diluted to a greater extent. Groundwater was highly polluted in closer region and moderately polluted in the middle region and very less or no pollution in distant region.

Test results of groundwater sample

Table –3. Test results of groundwater sample

S.No	Particulars	Farm location from Noyyal river						Permissible limit for irrigation water quality by FAO
		<1km (Closer region)		1-3km (Middle region)		>3km (Distant region)		
		Open well	Bore Well	Open well	Bore well	Open well	Bore well	
1.	pH	6.95	7.19	7.7	7.3	7.1	7.40	6.5 – 7.4
2.	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	10.9	7.7	2.9	2.8	1.8	1.7	3
3.	Chloride (meq/l)	55.2	41.2	24.0	18.0	12.0	11.1	10
4.	Calcium (ppm)	340	140	70	106	42	60	250

5.	Magnesium (ppm)	312	376.8	195.6	153.6	90	117.6	40
6.	Sodium (ppm)	358.8	299.46	154.56	151.57	128.34	117.3	200
7.	Potassium (ppm)	54.21	70.98	10.53	13.36	10.92	11.31	50
8.	Bicarbonate (meq/l)	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.4	8.5
9.	Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR)	4.77	4.34	3.05	3.13	2.01	2.55	-
10.	Salt type	Magnesium chloride						NA

It could be inferred from Table – 3, that all the values were higher in the closer region and the values were drastically reduced in the distant region. EC values are very high in the closer region beyond the safe levels showing high levels of pollution in that region and it decreases in the middle region and tends to safe levels in distant regions. Salts like Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium and Chloride are higher than critical values in the closer region and tending to safer values in the distant region. However, Magnesium concentration is higher in all the three regions. But, pH, potassium and Bicarbonate values lie within the safer levels. It could be concluded that most of the values in the closer region exceed general recommendations. Sheriff and Hussain, (2017) and Gowsar et al., (2019) reported similar results in the groundwater samples taken in selected places of Tiruppur district. The results of groundwater quality values obtained are compared with the FAO permissible limits for irrigation water. It was found that majority of the ionic concentrations were beyond the permissible limits prescribed by FAO. Maximum permissible limit for Electrical Conductivity (EC) was 3 dSm^{-1} , the value of EC in the closer region is greater than 7, more than two times the limit. The maximum permissible limit for Magnesium and Chloride was 40 ppm and 10 meq/l, in all the three regions the values are very high, beyond the permissible

limits since, magnesium chloride was found to be dominant in all the three regions. This can be said as evidence for the ratings given by farmers and the constructed water quality index. Since according to farmers rating the closer region is highly affected by pollution, the same trend can be seen from the groundwater sample test results.

Impact of groundwater pollution on agriculture

Several factors have been analysed to study the impact of groundwater pollution on agricultural farms and. They are as follows.

Table – 4. Demographic pattern of the sample farms

S.No	Particulars	Farm location from Noyyal river					
		<1km (Closer region)		1-3km (Middle region)		>3km (Distant region)	
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
1	Age						
	<40	7	17.50	12	30.00	3	7.50
	40-50	21	52.50	12	30.00	20	50.00
	>50	12	30.00	16	40.00	17	42.50
	Total	40	100.00	40	100.00	40	100.00
2	Education						
	Illiterate	1	2.50	6	15.00	4	10.00
	Primary	5	12.50	5	12.50	7	17.50
	Secondary	16	40.00	8	20.00	15	37.50
	Higher Secondary	11	27.50	7	17.50	2	5.00
	College	7	17.50	14	35.00	12	30.00
	Total	40	100.00	40	100.00	40	100.00
3	Average Family size (in numbers)						
	Male	1.45	34.36	1.62	37.33	1.35	33.75
	Female	1.47	34.84	1.52	35.03	1.40	35.00
	Children	1.30	30.80	1.20	27.64	1.25	31.25

	Total	4.22	100.00	4.34	100.00	4.00	100.00
4	Farming experience (in years)						
	<15	9	22.50	12	30.00	8	20.00
	15-25	15	37.50	18	45.00	24	60.00
	>25	16	40.00	10	25.00	8	20.00
	Total	40	100.00	40	100.00	40	100.00

It could be inferred from the Table - 4 that young farmers having age below 40 was low compared to other age groups in all the three regions. However, it was very low in distant region having only 7.50 per cent (3 sample farmers) compared to middle region having 30 per cent (12 sample farms) and closer region having 17.50 per cent (7 sample farms). The age group of 40-50 was found to be dominating in closer region (52.50 per cent) and distant region (50.00 per cent), whereas in middle region age group above 50 is high (40.00 per cent) among the sample farms.

Information on education level of the sample respondents is very important because an educated farmer would normally aware of technological, environmental and institutional changes and they follow any new strategies quickly by adopting it. Education influences the decision-making capacity of the farmers. The table showed the education level of the family heads of the sample farms. The level of illiterate was found to be low in all the regions viz., closer region (2.50 per cent), middle region (15.00 per cent) and distant region (10.00 per cent) among the sample farms. It can also be stated that majority of the family heads had basic school education and few graduated also in all the regions.

The family size of the respondents revealed that the number of children were found to be low in all the three regions. However, the average family size was higher in middle region with average family size of 4.34 whereas in closer region it was 4.22 and it was very low in distant

region with average family size of 4.00 numbers. Only in middle region male population was high whereas in other two regions female population was found to be dominating.

Farming experience is another important factor determining the decision-making capacity and success of the farm. Among the sample respondents, farmers with farming experience more than 15 years was to be high in all the three regions. During the field survey, most of the farmers said that farming was their forefather's occupation. In the study area, majority of the farmers were well experienced in farming. Almost 65 per cent of the farmers in each region having experience more than 15 years. It can be concluded from the above table that there exists minor variation among the sample farmers in case of farming experience and average family size, whereas in age and education variation exists. However, pollution has no impact on demographic characters.

Table – 5. Changes in the cropping and occupation of the study area

S.No	Particulars	Farm location from Noyyal river		
		<1km (Closer region)	1-3km (Middle region)	>3km (Distant region)
1	Predominant irrigated crop	Coconut	Coconut, Banana	Banana, Coconut
2	Predominant rainfed crop	Sorghum	Sorghum	Groundnut, Sorghum
3	Predominant occupation	Powerloom, shops	Powerloom, farming and fabrication	Farming and fabrication

It could be inferred from Table – 5 , that Coconut is found to be the predominant irrigated crop in the study area followed by Banana. The predominant rainfed crop is sorghum in the study area followed by groundnut. However, Banana is not grown in closer region, it is grown only in middle region and distant region. The reason may be due to high intensity of pollution causing unfavourable condition for banana growth in the closer region. Similarly, groundnut is not grown

in closer and middle region as rainfed crop, grown only in distant region. The reason may be due to land degradation by use of polluted water for irrigation causing unfavourable condition for groundnut cultivation in the closer and middle region. It should be noted that banana becomes the predominant irrigated crop in the distant region and same for groundnut also as rainfed crop. This shows the status of groundwater quality and land degradation in the closer region. Powerlooms, farming and fabrication are found to be the predominant occupation in the study area. However, farming is predominant in middle and distant region, this is because people in the closer region shifted towards other occupations and made it favourable for various shops in the town to dominate.

Gross income of the sample respondents

Gross income earned by the sample farms under different sources viz., On farm income, off farm income and Non-farm income are presented in the table below

Table – 6. Gross income of the sample farms (in ₹/year)

S.No	Income source	Farm location from Noyyal river		
		<1km (Closer region)	1-3km (Middle region)	>3km (Distant region)
1	On farm income	99433.15 (15.96)	123590.40 (19.68)	140678.33 (35.12)
2	Off farm income	17775.00 (2.85)	45225.34 (7.20)	47250.00 (11.80)
	Total farm income	117208.15 (18.81)	168815.74 (26.88)	187928.33 (46.92)
3	Non-farm income	505750.00 (81.19)	459200.00 (73.12)	212575.00 (53.08)
	Total gross income	622958.15 (100.00)	628015.74 (100.00)	400503.33 (100.00)

Note: Figures in the parentheses indicates the percentage share to the total income

It could be inferred from Table – 6, that middle region has high gross income compared to other two regions. This is because of the reason that the region has good income in farming and also in non-farm sector. However, distant region has high farm income indicating the suitability of agriculture and allied activities. In the closer region non-farm income contributes to 81.19 per cent to the total gross income whereas the sum of on-farm and off-farm is only 18.81 per cent to the total gross income. In the middle region non-farm income provides 73.12 per cent to the total gross income and the sum of on-farm and off-farm contributes to 26.88 per cent. In the distant region non-farm income is very low compared to other two regions and it is about 53.08 per cent to the total and farm income is about 46.92 per cent to the total gross income. This clearly shows that agriculture has become unfavourable in the closer region compared to other two regions because of pollution intensity. Devi et al., (2008) and Gopal et al., (2019) also reported the similar effects related to dye effluents and its impact on agriculture.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that pollution caused by the dyeing industries in the groundwater still exists in the study area, causing deterioration of water quality, reduced crop income and crop land values in the closer region. The study also revealed that agricultural occupation was drastically reduced in the areas closer to the Noyyal river. Several farms stopped agricultural activities and shifted towards other different occupations. It is also found that the study area has enough water facilities for practicing agriculture but the problem lies in terms of quality. The study also recommends all the farms to allot a small area of land under farm ponds accordingly to store good quality of water during rains in those ponds; thereby ensuring percolation to improve the quality of groundwater. The Public Works Department (PWD) may start desalinating all the waterbodies

in the Noyyal region and allowing them to harvest rainwater to utilize only for groundwater recharge purposes.

REFERENCES

- Akilan, S. T. (2016). Textile pollution and sociological implications-a case study in the selected villages of Noyyal River belt, Tamil Nadu, *International Journal of Economic and Business Review*, 4(2), 71-84.
- Babu, A., Chinnaiyan, P., & Abhinaya, S. (2017). Effect of dyeing and textile industry on Noyyal river water quality, Tiruppur—a case study, *Int J Civ Eng Technol*, 8(10), 1064-1071.
- Devi, T. S., Ramasamy, C., Gurunathan, S., & Menaka, S. (2008). Estimation of the Negative Externalities of Textile Dyeing Industry Effluents on Crop Productivity and Value of Farm Lands in Tamil Nadu, *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 63(3) 1-9.
- Fried, J. J. (1975). *Groundwater pollution*. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, New York.
- Geetha, A., Palanisamy, P. N., Sivakumar, P., Kumar, P. G., & Sujatha, M. (2008). Assessment of underground water contamination and effect of textile effluents on Noyyal River basin in and around Tiruppur Town, Tamilnadu. *E-Journal of Chemistry*, 5(4), 696-705.
- Gopal, A. V., Arun, O. S., Gobinath, R., & Saranyadevi, D. (2019). Experimental Studies on the Assessment of River Water Quality: A Case Study on Noyyal River Tiruppur, *Journal of Water Pollution & Purification Research*, 1(2), 19-23.
- Gowsar, S. N., Devi, M. N., Radha, M., & Vishnu, R. K. S. (2019). Characterization of Groundwater Quality using Principal Component Analysis of Andipalayam and

- Neruperichel blocks of Tiruppur District. *International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology*, 10(2), 76-83.
- Karpagam, M. (1991). *Environmental economics: A textbook*, Sterling Publishers Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.
- Mathur, N., and Bhatnagar, P. (2007). Mutagenicity assessment of textile dyes from Sanganer (Rajasthan). *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 28(1), 123–126.
- Noel, S. D., and Rajan, M. R. (2015). Impact of dyeing industry effluent on ground water quality by water quality index and correlation analysis. *Research in Biotechnology*, 6(1), 47-53.
- Sheriff, K. M., and Hussain, A. Z. (2017). Evaluation of groundwater quality and its suitability for irrigation use on the bank of Noyyal river at Tiruppur, Tamilnadu, India. *Env. Poll. Prot*, 2(3), 100–111.
- Yuvasakthi, S., and Kumar, D. (2017). An economic impact of water pollution on value of crop land in Tiruppur District of Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(5), 788-790.