

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_119845
Title of the Manuscript:	PROPHYLACTIC EFFECTS OF METHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACT OF <i>Momordica balsamina</i> AGAINST CCL4 INDUCED LIVER INJURY IN WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Too lengthy, it need to concise</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>My suggestion is that the authors get the information from following related articles. Please strong suggestion to cite these following: doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140372 doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2023.102835. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21052</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Needed English language improvement</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In the Discussion section, parts of index selected for verification lack functional introduction and reference support, the article could benefit from a comparative analysis of the present findings with existing literature on the topic. The discussion is not in-depth enough.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Waseem Ali Vistro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan</b>