

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_119845
Title of the Manuscript:	PROPHYLACTIC EFFECTS OF METHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACT OF <i>Momordica balsamina</i> AGAINST CCL4 INDUCED LIVER INJURY IN WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalairimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The research results are very important for health sciences development, particularly for the development of hepatoprotective drugs. The plants used seems to be common but have not been widely developed as hepatoprotective drug candidates so there is a big opportunity to be developed as a drug considering the high number of liver disorder cases, both single and comorbid, in the country where the researcher lives.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is very synchronized with the contents of the manuscript. However, researchers carried out a lot of sub-research such as toxicity tests which were divided into several phases, histopathological tests with lots of parameters and not reflected in the title, so the suggestion was that it needed to be split into 2 different articles, toxicity tests and pharmacological tests. The author does not explain much about what parameters are used in observing toxicity.</p> <p>3. Very comprehensive. The background, objectives and methods are very clearly explained. However, it is necessary to add conclusions regarding the best dose and results of toxicity testing.</p> <p>4. Researchers need to adapt the manuscript to the template provided, but the subsections and points required in the manuscript have been written well and correctly.</p> <p>5. The research was carried out in accordance with existing procedures and carried out a lot of complete parameters using relevant references and also comparing the research results with previous research. Scientifically, this research is very good.</p> <p>6. References used mostly from research journals should be from standard references such as books or standard guidelines. For toxicity, see OECD or The Indonesian Food and Drug Authority 2022 as one of the standard guidelines. Or guidelines issued by the Drug Control Authority Agency in the researcher's country.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality in this manuscript is very good and use scientific terms correctly. Manuscript is very well written in English</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dewi Damayanti Abdul Karim
Department, University & Country	Sumatera Institute of Technology, Indonesia