

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_119798
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Nutrients, Anti-nutrients and Anti-oxidant activities of Leafy Vegetable Powders Fortified “Danwake” (A Northern Nigerian Cassava- Cowpea Dumpling).
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript provides valuable insights into the nutritional, anti-nutritional, and antioxidant properties of fortified "Danwake", which is significant for nutritional science and food technology.</p> <p>The title is suitable but can be made more concise. Suggested title: "Nutritional, Anti-nutritional, and Antioxidant Properties of Fortified 'Danwake' (Cassava-Cowpea Dumpling) with Leafy Vegetable Powders."</p> <p>The abstract covers the main aspects of the research but could be more succinct. It should clearly state the objectives, methods, key findings, and implications of the study in a structured manner.</p> <p>The subsections and structure are generally appropriate. However, some sections need better organization and clarity. For example, the methodology section could benefit from a clearer subheading structure to delineate different experimental procedures.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound based on the methodologies and results presented. However, there are some areas where more detail is needed to fully understand the procedures and to ensure reproducibility.</p> <p>The references are generally sufficient but should be updated with more recent literature. Including recent studies on similar topics will strengthen the manuscript's relevance and scholarly context.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure consistency in the use of terms and measurements throughout the manuscript. • Check for grammatical errors and improve the overall flow of the text. • Provide more detailed statistical analysis to support the results and discussions. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? The language quality is acceptable but requires some polishing. Specific attention should be paid to grammar, sentence structure, and clarity.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider adding visual aids (charts, graphs) to enhance the presentation of data. • Elaborate on the potential implications of the findings for public health and nutrition policy. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Ebenesar Anna Bagyam
Department, University & Country	Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, India