

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_119308
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution of Goods and Services Tax (GST) Revenue: India's March towards \$5 Trillion Economy
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched with positive economic and financial implications</p> <p>The paper overall is adequate and needs to be edited and proofread The text is not very simple and easy to follow.</p> <p>However, the trail of thoughts is streamlined.</p> <p>It needs proofreading and editing [See attached Reviewed, marked-up, and commented Manuscript]</p> <p>The abstract is fair though missing the clear objective of the work, methodology, and implications of the research.</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction is short but adequate. Add the objectives and how the paper is divided.</p> <p>The methodology is adequate. Suggestions are made [see attached Manuscript]</p> <p>The reported data in the form of Tables and Figures is adequate and supports the discussion.</p> <p>The discussion/conclusion is fine and validated!</p> <p>The conclusion is adequate, however, no recommendations are offered or future research ideas.</p> <p>It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research</p> <p>Review carefully THE WRITE-UP OF THE REFERENCES FOR CONSISTENCY AND COMPLETENESS, AND TO MATCH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE Journal!!</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon