

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_119582
Title of the Manuscript:	Aircraft Noise and the Psychosocial Health of Man: The Case of Sam Mbakwe International Cargo Airport, Imo State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Phychological health effects due to Aircraft noise

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, The work presented in the paper provides health effects due to longer exposure to noise. Here the reference is confined to Aircraft noise only, paper shows noise data is referred for duration(min. six months data is required for one mean value)</p> <p>“Aircraft Noise and the Physiological Health effects of Man”</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Need to be revised</p> <p>Theoretically good approach, needs correct chi-square equation(shown in the paper is wrong) and the value observed and expected frequencies. Alignment with uniform style of letters is required in every reference mentioned. Latest references are compulsory</p> <p>Typographical errors need to be corrected . Continuous noise data is to be documented. Chi-square equation is wrong, needs the special attention with sub details mentioned. Comparison with others findings through relevant graphs to be shown. Sample copy of structured questionnaire is to be given. Which noise meter is used for noise data collection, mention the software supported for data collection.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>After attending the above mentioned points</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Strength of the research investigation depends on implementing the above mentioned suggestions</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K S Basavarajappa
Department, University & Country	Bapuji Institute of Engineering and Technology, Visvesvaraya Technological University, India