

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120706
Title of the Manuscript:	To examine the issues faced by supply chain partners in secondary distribution network for CPCs in South-1 zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International To examine the issues faced by supply chain partners in secondary distribution network for CPCs in South-1 zone</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A concise and factual abstract is required. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the main results, and major conclusions. It should be between 150 and 250 words. • In depth analysis and a more critical discussion of literature review is recommended. It can be more elaborated. The researcher's own analysis should be added to reflect his/her own contribution. It is recommended to link smoothly through the analysis between the different parts. • The research paper can be more organized and the following order can be recommended: Title; abstract; keywords; introduction; material studied, area descriptions, methods and/or techniques; results; discussion; conclusion; acknowledgements; references. • The research design, questions, hypotheses and methods are not relatively clearly stated. The arguments and discussion of findings can be more analyzed. • The practical and social applications/recommendations of the study should be added. • The limitation of the study should be more elaborated. • Each reference cited in the text must appear in the reference list, and each entry in the reference list must be cited in the text. More updated references should be added as well. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Randa El Bedawy</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Egypt</p>