

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120706
Title of the Manuscript:	To examine the issues faced by supply chain partners in secondary distribution network for CPCs in South-1 zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript on examining the issues faced by supply chain partners in the secondary distribution network for Crop Protection Chemicals (CPCs) in the South-1 zone is highly significant for the scientific community. It sheds light on crucial aspects of logistics management, secondary distribution networks, and supply chain challenges in the agricultural sector. The use of the Garrett Ranking Technique for analysis adds a methodological strength to the study. Overall, this manuscript provides valuable insights for researchers, policymakers, and industry professionals in the field of agrochemicals and agricultural supply chains.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article, "Examining Issues in Secondary Distribution Network for CPCs in South-1 Zone," effectively conveys the focus of the study. An alternative title could be "Logistics Challenges in Distributing Crop Protection Chemicals in the South-1 Zone"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive, providing key information on the growth of the Indian agricultural industry, the importance of agrochemicals, and the methodology used in the study. One suggestion for improvement could be to include a brief overview of the main findings or implications of the research in the abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, clearly outlining the introduction, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. The scientific correctness of the manuscript is robust due to the use of the Garrett Ranking Technique for analysis, the inclusion of data from C&F agents and dealers, and the focus on practical issues faced in the distribution of CPCs.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript is well-written, with clear and concise language that effectively conveys the research findings and implications.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dustin Tahisin Gómez Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Universitaria Agustiniana, Colombia