

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120326
Title of the Manuscript:	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOLLOWED BY AGRI-INCUBATEES OF ANGRAU AGRIBUSINESS INCUBATOR IN TIRUPATI
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is of significant value to the scientific community, providing a thorough examination of best management practices implemented by agricultural start-ups under ANGRAU incubation. It not only gives out effective strategies but it also highlights those areas that need improvement thereby setting a bar for other agribusiness incubators and startups. The manuscript provides essential insights useful for fostering sustainable growth and prosperity among agricultural enterprises. The contemporary context of agriculture sector evolution calls for emphasis on innovation, technology adoption and strategic collaborations.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title seems clear and descriptive to me.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The article summary is generally comprehensive but could be improved for clarity. Here are some suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The purpose of the study and its importance should be clearly stated from the beginning. 2. Summarize the key findings more explicitly (Suggestions). 3. Briefly outline the implications of the findings. <p>Suggestions: The study found that best management practices such as offering innovative products/services, using technology, adapting to market trends, prioritizing customer satisfaction, maintaining transparency and managing waste efficiently received the highest average scores. Practices such as preparation of vision and mission statements, risk management, product quality assessment and regulatory compliance were closely followed. Strategies such as financial performance monitoring, sustainable supply chain integration, market research, goal setting, job generation, stakeholder networking, marketing, and brand identity and cost optimization were also positively considered. However, digital marketing, detailed project planning and financial partnerships were areas that needed improvement. Overall, 30% of agri-incubators rated their practices as low, 50% as moderate and 20% as high, highlighting areas for further improvement to ensure continued growth and success in the agribusiness sector.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research design and methodological approach of this manuscript illustrate its robustness and scientific soundness. The best management practices of the ANGRAU Agribusiness Incubator for agri-incubates were systematically investigated using a descriptive research approach, which ensured accuracy and reliability in data collection and analysis. Selecting participants based on specific criteria such as category, product, service, R&D and achievements enhances the validity of the findings by reflecting a comprehensive understanding of the incubator's impact. The manuscript's scientific credibility is further enhanced by the inclusion of statistical analysis and the straightforward display of results in tables and figures, which enable a comprehensive discussion of the consequences for agricultural entrepreneurship and innovation.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	In my opinion, the article has some weaknesses that could be improved: Abstract structure: The goal, methods, and findings of the study might all be presented in the abstract in a better and more succinct manner. It ought to provide a more thorough overview of the topics covered and their significance. In the Materials and Methods section, more details about how the participants were selected and how the data were collected and analyzed could be useful. A more complete description of the methodology would enhance understanding of the research process. In the Results and Discussion section, the results and their implications for management practices should be clearly argued. It is important that each result is linked back to the research questions and discussed in the context of existing literature. The Conclusions section could provide a more robust synthesis of the main findings and recommendations for the future. It should clarify what can be learned from the study and what the steps are for further research or management practice.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mirela Anamaria Jimborean
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania