

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120326</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOLLOWED BY AGRI-INCUBATEES OF ANGRAU AGRIBUSINESS INCUBATOR IN TIRUPATI</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript would be very important for scientific community since it can help them as a reference. It may help them to learn something about the best management practices followed by incubates.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>I find the title suitable</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Abstract is too long and doesn't contain methods and techniques used to conduct this research. Yet, apparently, it does not contain the conclusion.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Here, the manuscript lacks the quotations of previous researchers (literature review) that could support the findings.</b>	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Even though the results of this paper showed that the work is scientific, the manuscript lacks the quotations of previous researchers (literature review) that could support the findings.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	<b>While some of the references are recent and directly relevant to this study, there are several dated sources that could be updated to provide a more current and comprehensive review of the literature..</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English used in this article is suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	As conclusion, I can say that the article should be very good if the writer had considered the role of previous researchers in supporting this study.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lucien Hakizimana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Technology and Arts of Byumba, Rwanda</b>