

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_120231 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A comprehensive review on entomovectoring in agroecosystem |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This article explains the integration of pollination ecology with entomovectoring technology is set to transform modern agriculture by harmonizing crop production and protection as a unified process. This innovative approach enhances not only yield but also incorporates effective pest management strategies. Embracing entomovectoring represents a significant advancement toward environmentally friendly farming practices, promoting a healthier ecosystem. Nowadays, research focused on ecosystem restoration is essential for achieving sustainable agriculture in the future. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title of the article is ok. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Overall, the abstract of the article provides a very concise overview, but little modifications may be made to enhance its impact and clarity: - The main objective of the review needs to be clarified. - Highlight the key result. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Overall, the manuscript appears to have a proper arrangement. Based on the sections presented the content of the article, it appears technically sound. | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | For many decades, research has focused separately on either pollination ecology or pest and disease management in crops. However, this review article highlights entomovectoring as an emerging approach for sustainable agriculture, showcasing its potential as a versatile tool for multiple purposes. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | The references provided in the article are relevant and sufficient, but need to recheck as per author's guidelines of JSRR. | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The article is well-written and employs appropriate technical language for scholarly communication, making it suitable for publication. | |
| Optional/General comment | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Ramanuj Vishwakarma |
| Department, University & Country | Bihar Agricultural University, India |