

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_118640
Title of the Manuscript:	ADOPTION OF PRECISION AGRICULTURE TECHNOLOGIES AMONG FARMERS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW
Type of the Article	A Review type Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for the scientific community, due to its ability to effectively communicate new agricultural idea to the academic audience.</p> <p>Yes, title is suitable but could be (Adoption of Precision Agricultural Technologies among Farmers: A comprehensive review)</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive enough.</p> <p>Manuscript structure is good, subsections is also good, with minor sections to be improved</p> <p>I think manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent</p> <p>References not well arranged Overall structure could be improved upon</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language and English quality of the article is good and acceptable as a scholarly article.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Manuscript is a good and makes a good informative academic piece.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Darlington Eze Ekechukwu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of East London, United Kingdom</b>