

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_119934
Title of the Manuscript:	Luliconazole loaded leciplex gel for fungal infection: fabrication, optimization, in - vitro and ex-vivo evaluation
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>The type of study you can add at the end is better to reframe. Clarity needed</p> <p>Yes ,but clarity needed in whome tested ?How much of a of a sample size?</p> <p>It looking more like thesis ,better to cutshot and write like article.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Some are missing. DOI, you can remove the old references.</p> <p>Keywords in alphabetical order should be in MeSH terms; it is better not to be in the title.</p> <p>All image references are needed.</p> <p>Discussion with other studies is needed.</p> <p>Excellent work, but it looks more like an essay than an article. Subsections are to be removed.</p> <p>Any need for consent, ethical clearance number, or time duration is better to add.</p> <p>All in vivo in vitro tests were done when, in whom, and what measures were taken to decrease the bias.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>to be improved</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakesh Kotha
Department, University & Country	Osmania Medical College, India