

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_118664
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Factors Influencing Experimental Teaching Satisfaction Based on ISM-MICMAC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community because, teaching satisfaction is an important aspect of educational research, as it can impact student learning outcomes, teacher effectiveness, and overall educational quality. Analysing the factors that influence teaching satisfaction provides valuable insights for educators, administrators, policymakers, and researchers. 2. Indeed, the title makes sense for a study or research report. The title ("Analysis of Factors Influencing Experimental Teaching Satisfaction") and approach ("ISM-MICMAC") are both properly stated. However, you can think about writing out the complete name or giving a brief explanation in the abstract or introduction of your manuscript as the abbreviation "ISM-MICMAC" is not well-known to all readers. 3. The abstract needs some improvement. The researcher should start with some descriptions then state the purpose and objectives of the study. 4. The subsections and structure are appropriate. However, the subsection "ENHANCE TEACHERS' TEACHING ABILITIES, UPGRADE TEACHING CONTENT, IMPROVE LABORATORY CONSTRUCTION AND MANAGEMENT, AND PREVENT THE 'SHORTCOMING EFFECT'" is too long. The researcher should paraphrase or divide it into four (4) subsections such as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. ENHANCE TEACHERS' TEACHING ABILITIES ii. UPGRADE TEACHING CONTENT iii. IMPROVE LABORATORY CONSTRUCTION AND MANAGEMENT iv. PREVENT THE 'SHORTCOMING EFFECT' 5. I think it is correct, although I am not much familiar with ISM-MICMAC 6. Some empirical evidences are outdated (e.g. number 5 and 6). I recommend the use of current empirical literatures 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language used is suitable and directly communicated</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The research article provides a comprehensive analysis of factors influencing experimental teaching satisfaction based on ISM-MICMAC. It introduces the methodology used and summarizes the findings effectively. The abstract clearly outlines the objectives and outcomes of the study, while the introduction sets the stage for the significance of the research. The literature review is thorough, providing credible references to support the study. Additionally, the article demonstrates a clear structure and offers actionable recommendations based on the analysis results. Overall, the article appears well-organized, informative, and valuable for those interested in improving experimental teaching satisfaction.</p> <p>Although, the author should discuss more results with empirical evidence supporting the arguments made about the findings. In other word, the part of discussion of the results is missing.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geofrey Mwanahanja
Department, University & Country	Water Institute, Tanzania