

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_120621
Title of the Manuscript:	Resilience and Recovery Mechanisms for Software-Defined Networking (SDN) and Cloud Networks
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of the paper is "Resilience and Recovery Mechanisms for Software-Defined Networking (SDN) and Cloud Networks". The paper provides a promising contribution in examining the vulnerability and resilience of SDN and cloud networks. The paper performs a scalable and efficient approach to reduce vulnerability in SDN and cloud networks.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the paper is "Resilience and Recovery Mechanisms for Software-Defined Networking (SDN) and Cloud Networks" is adequate for the content of the paper.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the paper is full meaning for the paper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsection and structure of the paper suitable for the paper.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper provides the SVM approach that makes the paper stronger in diminishing vulnerabilities in SDN and cloud networks. The performance of the method is consistent to the experiments. This makes the paper readability to the readers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> + The references need to be checked to make sure they are all cited in the paper. + The figure and table need to be checked for providing information and citation. + The equations should be numbered by the sections + The discussion and recommendation should be succinct 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is good.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Minh Tuan
Department, University & Country	KMUTNB, Thailand