

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120291
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of combined application of treated sewage sludge and inorganic fertilizers on yield and yield attributes of maize crop (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community due to its innovative approach in addressing a pressing research gap. The authors' meticulous experimental design and robust statistical analyses provide a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon under investigation. Personally, I appreciate the clarity of the manuscript's structure and the depth of its discussion, which not only advances current knowledge but also opens avenues for further research in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Effect of combined application of treated sewage sludge and inorganic fertilizers on yield and yield attributes of maize crop (Zea mays L.)" effectively conveys the main focus and scope of the study. It clearly indicates the specific variables being investigated (sewage sludge and inorganic fertilizers), the target crop (maize), and the outcomes of interest (yield and yield attributes). However, if brevity is a concern, it could potentially be shortened without losing much information, such as "Impact of sewage sludge and inorganic fertilizers on maize yield and attributes."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract will be more comprehensive and informative, effectively summarizing the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications for the scientific community and agricultural practice.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of your manuscript will effectively communicate your research findings to the scientific community. Always consider the specific guidelines of the journal to which you intend to submit your manuscript, as they may have specific formatting requirements.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, these elements collectively contribute to the manuscript's scientific robustness and technical soundness by employing rigorous methodology, clear presentation of results, and thoughtful discussion of implications. Such attributes are crucial for ensuring that research contributes meaningfully to the scientific community and informs agricultural practices effectively.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	As a general guideline, most references should be from the past 5-10 years, although seminal works or foundational studies can be older if they remain relevant.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable for scholarly communications?	
Optional/General comments	-Read and correct word.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There were no ethical issues regarding the manuscript.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apisit Chittawanij
Department, University & Country	Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand