

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120785
Title of the Manuscript:	Preference of Indian honey bee, <i>Apis cerena indica</i> Fab. (Apidae: Hymenoptera) for varying sugar syrup concentrations and colours
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research can provide basic knowledge in developing honey bee habitat to increase honey production. I have liked this manuscript: This research is simple, if it is deepened and developed further it will be of better use.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title provided is quite good, but it is necessary to consider the use of 'sugar syrup' to be more specific (the type of sugar used).	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The existing abstract is quite comprehensive, but it is best to start with an argument for the importance of this research.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The submission and structure of the manuscript are quite good and concise	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The design of this research is quite good and simple, although the completeness of the approach in the method needs to be better (for example: specific sugar syrup used, colored paper materials and recording of environmental temperature during observations/per hour.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	It's best to look at the latest references, for example: Honey Bees (Apis mellifera Hymenoptera: Apidae) Preferentially Avoid Sugar Solutions Supplemented with Field-Relevant Concentrations of Hydrogen Peroxide Despite High Tolerance Limits Lewis J. Bartlett,1,4, Carlos Martinez-Mejia,2, and Keith S. Delaplane3, Journal of Insect Science, (2022) 22(1): 2; 1–6 from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357489532_Honey_Bees_Apis_mellifera_Hymenoptera_Apidae_Preferentially_Avoid_Sugar_Solutions_Supplemented_with_Field-Relevant_Concentrations_of_Hydrogen_Peroxide_Despite_High_Tolerance_Limits	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of articles in Bahasa/English is sufficient for scientific communication	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is quite good, but you should consider: Title and Method: Sugar syrup: Use something more specific. Abstract : At the beginning of the abstract there is no argument regarding the background to the importance of this research.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aditya Irawan
Department, University & Country	Mulawarman University, Indonesia