

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120427</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Integrative Approaches in Sericulture: From Traditional Practices to Modern Technologies Sericulture and its Contribution to Biodiversity Conservation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for scientific community because it gives a good perception about traditional Practices to Modern Technologies Sericulture and its Contribution to Biodiversity Conservation	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes he title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Authors are advice to make more precise and short	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript does not follow the guidelines of the Journal, Advice to go for correction	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has errors which need to be corrected for its possible publication. Ex. Bibliographic references all wrongly placed , tables with presentation errors that must be corrected, authors are advice to add 2-3 three more points in future direction and recommendation section	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	References are not prepared as per the journal's guideline, all the references are wrongly arranged (i,e alphabetically) in the paper. 3-4 nos of references are missing in reference section, or if it is wrongly written in content section then it required major correction	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  The English of the manuscript is suitable for publication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dipankar Saikia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>