

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_116578
Title of the Manuscript:	The Safety of Subtotal Over Total Cholecystectomy: A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. The topic is still under considerable debate. The literature suggests that subtotal cholecystectomy is one of the better options in a case of difficult cholecystectomy with the sole intention of avoiding a bile duct injury, where it is difficult to identify the critical view of safety (as recommended by Strasberg). However, in one of the recent articles, Martinez et al. [2023] [12] emphasized that laparoscopic complete cholecystectomy was linked with a decreased incidence of postoperative bile leakage and subhepatic fluid accumulation compared to partial cholecystectomy, with a lower mortality rate. The last word has still not been written</p> <p>The title is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract is fairly comprehensive, and covers various aspects of the article.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. The research selection approach using a PRISMA flowchart has been appropriately utilized for final inclusion of the relevant studies and excluding the other studies which were inappropriate.</p> <p>The references are fairly relevant to the article. Some of the fairly recent articles from year 2020 to 2023 are also included.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language is fairly good for scholarly communications.</p> <p>Minor grammatical and spelling mistakes need to be corrected with appropriate software assistance.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>A) The term STC is used for: Subtotal Cholecystectomy" in the manuscript. However, STC has also been used to denote "Single Trocar Cholecystectomy" [Table [2], Key discoveries section]. This needs to be rectified.</p> <p>B) References in the discussion section have not been marked by their respective numbers given in the references section. The reference numbers need to be incorporated in the discussion section.</p> <p>Minor Revisions are needed, as suggested above under relevant sections.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Premashish Halder
Department, University & Country	Jagjivanram Hospital, India