

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Botany</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIB_120313</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ethno-Botanical Uses and Socio-Cultural Importance of White Yam (<i>Dioscorea rotundata</i> Poir.) in South-Eastern, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The authors discuss the uses of White Yam ( <i>Dioscorea rotundata</i> Poir.) in different regions of Nigeria. This is an interesting approach of scientific, social, economic and environmental interest. However, in order to be published, the manuscript must be revised and methodological information must be detailed. See notes in the text	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	No, Methodological aspects should be better detailed and the results revised in terms of presentation	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The way the manuscript is presented, it only has relevance in the country/region where it was developed. In order to be published and have relevance in a wider context, the authors should revise the manuscript and highlight points that are generalizable	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	No, Only 3 references are current, from the last five years.	
Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Junir Antonio Lutinski</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Community University of the Chapeco, Brazil</b>