

### ReviewForm3

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofResearchinBotany</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRIB_120313
TitleoftheManuscript:	Ethno-BotanicalUsesandSocio-CulturallImportanceofWhiteYam(DioscorearotundataPoir.)inSouth-Eastern,Nigeria
Typeofthe Article	OriginalResearchArticle

**ReviewForm3**

**PART1:ReviewComments**

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedbackhere)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>-</p> <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>I consider that it is convenient to point out the intention and the effort that the authors make in wanting to make the manuscript and bring an experience to a research product, that is certainly valuable and recognized. I think it would be an interesting model to improve and establish originality. Your work is interesting, written well, and organized. However, there are some comments that should be considered before publishing, in this way, the scientific quality of the manuscript would be improved. I suggest the authors update the bibliography, many of the citations are more than 15 years old, therefore I suggest adding recent references which address the issue in question. Suggested citations are for genuine scientific reasons that emphasize the current topic of study in context. Authors should consider the corrections suggested by the reviewers. In this way the postulated manuscript would be reasonably presented, fluent reading.</p>	

**ReviewForm3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Barlin Orlando Olivares</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Cordoba, Spain</b>