

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_119129
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrating Cerebrovascular Neurosurgery into National Health Policies: A Roadmap for Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript describes a profound way to improve the introduction of neurosurgical care in Nigeria in the treatment of haemorrhage in stroke.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is clear and comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the list of references and the method are correct.</p> <p>The list of references is correct.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language is good, however the English should be overworked, because I have seen some mistakes of orthography and grammar.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The tables resuming the results improve the comprehensibility of the manuscript. It is a good review article.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Felix-Martin Werner</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Germany</b>