

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_119945
Title of the Manuscript:	PROBLEMS OF TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ORAL ENGLISH IN JUNIOR SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN UDENU LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, ENUGU STATE
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and current.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but please polish it for its cohesiveness.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please use writing tools, like Grammarly, to help correct spelling (including capital and small letters), space, and punctuation.</p> <p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, nevertheless, it needs more writing cohesiveness. Attention can be given to the concept of mother tongue interference and lack of laboratory that affect oral English teaching and learning; not the comparison between male and female teachers/samples. The data/evidence in the statistics calculation has displayed/presented/described interference and lack of laboratory.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and current but adding the newer/newest ones is suggested. One statement/quotation can be supported with two or more references. The previous/existing one is still fine, not to drop; simply add.</p> <p>Please see and study notes/suggestions in the manuscript as sources to polish/revise.</p> <p>See the attachment</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eusabinus Bunau
Department, University & Country	Universitas Tanjungpura, Indonesia