

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_119649</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AN ANALYSIS OF EFFECTS OF MONITORING AND EVALUATION PRACTICES ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EDUCATIONAL PROJECTS AMONG NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS IN KAKUMA REFUGEE CAMP KENYA .</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b> However, the author can consider refining as: <b>MONITORING AND EVALUATION PRACTICES AND IMPLEMENTATION OF EDUCATIONAL PROJECTS AMONG NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS IN KAKUMA REFUGEE CAMP KENYA</b></p> <p>The abstract is missing some critical aspects. The author should show how the data was analysed, for instance descriptive and inferential statistics; the findings should be included; the recommendations should be precise and directly be addressed based on the findings.</p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>PROBLEM STATEMENT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Authors should give a clear formal statement of the problem within the background; this shows the problem the study is trying to address.</li> <li>ii. The authors should add statistical data to support the problem statement.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>The literature review</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>The author should provide a discussion on the three theories;</b> the systems management theory, program theory and stakeholder theory and show how these theories anchor this study. These theories inform the hypothesis tested.</li> <li>ii. The author should include the hypothesis tested</li> <li>iii. The author should include a clear conceptualization and operationalization of the variables. The variables should be clearly discussed in the literature.</li> <li>iv. The authors should explain clearly show the gaps from the prior work and the solution presented in this paper</li> </ul> <p><b>Methodology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The Author should include the research design used and how it was applied in this study</li> <li>ii. Should include the sampling techniques used</li> <li>iii. Under data analysis the author should include the regression equation used</li> </ul> <p><b>Data Analysis and Discussion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The sub sections should be numbered and made short and precise, for instance, <b>4.1: M&amp;E Planning and Implementation of education projects</b></li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>ii. To make the analysis more appealing the author should collapse the variables together and use multiple linear regression analysis instead of doing simple linear regression for each</p> <p><b>Summary of findings</b></p> <p>i. The author should provide a brief summary of the findings</p> <p>ii. The conclusions should be made clear based on the findings of the study</p> <p>iii. Recommendations should be on paragraph form not bullets and linked well to the findings</p> <p>iv. The authors should include section on policy implications</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Ambrose Kyalo Kaumbulu</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Kenya</b></p>