

Original Research Article

OPTIMIZING SPACING AND NUTRIENT SOURCES FOR ENHANCED YIELD AND QUALITY OF SUMMER ONION (*Allium cepa* L.)

ABSTRACT

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is a crucial crop in Bangladesh, but current winter-only cultivation fails to meet national demand. This study aims to optimize summer onion production through proper plant spacing and nutrient management. The field experiment was conducted during the period from March to June 2016 in the Horticultural Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka to find out the effect of spacing and nutrient sources on yield of summer onion. The experiment consisted of two factors: Factor A: Three levels of spacing. *Viz.*, S₁: 10 cm x 15 cm, S₂: 15 cm x 15 cm, and S₃: 20 cm x 15 cm. Factor B: Four levels of nutrient sources. *viz.*, F₀: (control); F₁: Vermicompost (7 t/ha); F₂: Mustard oil cake (6 t/ha) and F₃: Inorganic fertilizer (N-110 + P-50 + K-125 Kg/ha). There were 12 treatment combinations. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Results indicated that 15x15 cm spacing significantly enhanced plant height, bulb diameter, and yield, achieving 22.03 t/ha. Vermicompost (7 t/ha) yielded the highest plant height, bulb size, and overall yield (20.61 t/ha). Combined treatment of 15x15 cm spacing with vermicompost resulted in the highest yield (24.06 t/ha). These findings suggest that optimized spacing and organic nutrient application can significantly improve summer onion production, potentially reducing dependency on imports and enhancing food security in Bangladesh.

Key words: Onion, Spacing, Nutrient sources, Variety, Yield

1. INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is an important herbaceous bulb and spice crop in the world which belongs to the family Alliaceae. It is grown in almost all areas of Bangladesh, but commercial cultivation is found to be concentrated only in the greater division of Dhaka, Rajshahi, Khulna and Rangpur [4]. At present total production of onion was about 2547 metric tons from 503 acres of land in Bangladesh with an average yield of nearly 22.7 t ha⁻¹ in the year 2022- 2023 [4]. Onions are grown only in winter season; this production of onions cannot fulfill our national demand. Every year Bangladesh imports huge amount of onion from the neighboring countries and expend crore taka. But introducing heat and summer tolerant varieties with proper culture technique, it has now been cultivated in summer season also. Summer onion production is greatly influenced by agronomic practices [14,19,20,21]. The optimum level of any agronomic practice like plant spacing, plant population, planting date, harvesting time can bring desired result. Spacing determines the plant density and is generally dependent upon the expected growth of a particular crop plant variety in a given agro-climatic region. Successful bulb production in onion depends on the plant spacing. Spacing influences the plant growth, size of bulbs, yields as well as the quality of the onion bulb [10]. The balanced fertilization from different nutrient sources plays an important role for enhancing yield and quality in onion. The physical and chemical characteristics of soil are improved by organic fertilizers such cow dung, chicken manure, compost, mustard oilcake, vermicompost, and bio-slurry. These attributes are crucial for onion plant growth, yield, and quality [19]. Considering the above facts, the experiment was carried out to maximize summer onion yield and quality by optimizing spacing and nutrient sources.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental location and Duration

The experiment was conducted at the Horticultural farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka during the period from March 2016 to June 2016.

2.2 Climate and Soil of the experimental area

The experimental area is characterized by subtropical rainfall during the month of May to September and scattered rainfall during the rest of the year. The soil of the study site was silty clay loam in texture belonging to series. The area represents the Agro-Ecological Zone of Madhupur tract (AEZ No. 28) with pH 5.8-6.5 (Haider, 1991). The analytical data of the soil sample collected from the experimental area were determined in the Soil Resources Development Institute (SRDI), Soil Testing Laboratory, Khamarbari, Dhaka.

2.3 Variety:

"KSP-30" a high yielding variety was considered for this study as a test material. This is an exotic Indian Onion variety. It has originated from India in 2015. The seed was collected from a renowned seed company named 'Bejo Sheetal Seeds Pvt. Company Limited'. The days to maturity for this variety is 110-120 days after transplanting. The average weight of an individual bulb is 90-100 gm. The bulbs are dark red in color with round shape. Seed sowing round the year and the bulbs have long shelf life. The average yield of the bulb is about 35-40 t/ha.

2.4 Treatment and layout of the experiment

The experiment consisted of two factors as follows:

Factor A: Three levels of spacing: $S_1=10\text{ cm} \times 15\text{ cm}$, $S_2=15\text{ cm} \times 15\text{ cm}$, $S_3=20\text{ cm} \times 15\text{ cm}$ **Factor B:** Four levels of nutrient sources: $F_0=$ Control (No organic + No inorganic), $F_1=$ Vermicompost (3.5 t/ha), $F_2=$ Mustard oil cake (4.5 t/ha), $F_3=$ Inorganic fertilizer (N-60 kg + P-25 kg + K 55 kg/ha). Organic fertilizer vermicompost contains 1.76% N, 3.03% P, 1.06% K and mustard oil cake contains 6.4% N, 2.9% P_2O_5 & 2.2% K_2O . Inorganic fertilizer like N-110kg, P-50kg, K-125 kg were applied in the form of Urea 240 kg, TSP 220kg & MP 250kg/ha.

2.5 Experimental design

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) having two factors with three replications. An area of 20.90 m x 5.10 m was divided into three equal blocks. Each block was consisting of 12 plots where 12 treatments were allotted randomly. There were 36-unit plots in the experiment. The size of each plot was 1.2 m x 0.70 m. The distance between two blocks and two plots were kept 0.75 m and 0.5 m respectively.

2.6 Cultivation procedure

2.6.1 Land preparation

The land of the experimental field was ploughed with a power tiller on 15 April 2016. Later on, the land was ploughed three times followed by laddering to obtain desirable tilth. The corners of the land were spaded, and larger clods were broken into smaller pieces. After ploughing and laddering, all the stubbles and uprooted weeds were removed and then the land was made ready. The field layout and design was followed after land preparation on 20 April 2016.

2.6.2 Raising of seedlings

The seeds were soaked in water for 15 hours before sowing for a good germination and kept in a piece of cloth for sprouting. Sprouted seeds were sown in a well prepared 3 m x 1 m size seed bed at the rate of two hundred and fifty (250) grams of seeds on each of the two seedbeds on 14 March 2016. After sowing, seeds were covered with light soil. The emergence of the seedlings took place within 7 to 8 days after sowing.

2.6.3 Fertilizer application

Different amounts of manures and fertilizers were applied as per treatment. Manures were applied as the basal dose and inorganic fertilizers like urea (N), TSP (P) and MOP (K) were applied as the split dose per requirement.

2.6.4 Transplanting of seedlings

Healthy and uniform 35 days old seedlings were uprooted separately from the seed bed and were transplanted in the experimental plots on 26 April 2016 maintaining spacing as per treatment between

the rows and plants, respectively. Seedlings were also planted around the border area of the experimental plots for gap filling.

2.6.5 Intercultural operations

After transplanting the seedlings, various kinds of intercultural operations were accomplished for better growth and development of the plants. A few gaps filling was done by healthy seedlings of the same stock where initial planted seedling failed to survive. Numbers of weeding were accomplished as and whenever necessary to keep the crop free from weeds and to conserve soil moisture. The first irrigation was given immediate after the transplantation whereas other were applied when and when required depending upon the condition of soil.

Preventive measures were taken against soil born insects. For the prevention of cut worm (*Agrotis ipsilon*) soil treatment was done with Furadan 5G at the rate of 20 kg per hectare. Purple blotch caused by *Alternaria porii* was found to attack many plants in the experimental field. It was controlled by spraying Ridomil and Rovral at the rate of 2g/L of water.

2.7 Data collection

Eight plants were selected randomly from each plot for data collection in such a way that the border effect could be avoided for the highest precision. Plant height (cm), Number of leaves plant⁻¹, Length of leaf (cm) were recorded at 15, 30 and 45 days after transplanting (DAT). The plant height (cm) was taken from the neck of the onion bulb to the tip of the longest leaf. The leaf length(cm) was measured from the pseudo stem to the tip of the leaf and the number of leaves from each plant. Yield component data- Length of bulb (cm), Diameter of bulb (cm), Weight of single bulb (g), Yield of bulb plot⁻¹ (kg), Yield hectare⁻¹ (ton) were collected.

2.8 Statistical analysis

The recorded data on various parameters were statistically analyzed using MSTAT-C statistical package program developed by Russel (1986). The mean for all the treatments was calculated and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all the characters were performed by F-Difference between treatment means were determined by Least Significance Difference (LSD) according to Gomez and Gomez, (1984) at 5% level of significance.

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield and yield attributes influenced by spacing

Spacing had significant influence on different parameters of summer onion, such as plant height, number of leaves per plant, length of bulb, diameter of bulb, weight of single bulb, yield of bulb per plot (Table 1). The longest plant (51.12 cm), the highest leaves plant⁻¹ (10.90), length of bulb (3.83 cm), diameter of bulb (3.92 cm) was recorded from S₂ (15 cm x 15 cm) treatment while the shortest plant (43.78 cm), lowest leaves plant⁻¹ (7.40), length of bulb (2.88 cm), diameter of bulb (2.95 cm) was found from S₃ (20 cm x 15 cm) treatment. The maximum weight of single bulb (66.64 g) was observed from S₃ (20 cm x 15 cm) treatment, while the minimum result (22.76 g) was found from S₁ (15 cm x 15 cm) treatment. Successful bulb production in onion depends on the plant spacing. Optimum spacing is one of the important factors to achieve the optimum plant growth, size of bulbs, economically viable yield as well as the quality of the onion bulb [10]. The highest yield of bulb plot⁻¹ (1.85 kg), yield hectare⁻¹ (22.03 ton) was recorded from S₂ (15 cm x 15 cm) treatment, while the lowest yield of bulb plot⁻¹ (1.27 kg), yield hectare⁻¹ (15.17 ton) was found from S₁ (10 cm x 15 cm). Wider spacing allowed the plant to have enough room for its natural resources. It might be averting intense rivalry between plants for resources like light, water, and nutrients. [6] also observed that the wider spacing of 20 cm x 10 cm produced maximum equatorial number of marketable bulbs and total bulb yield [7]. Significant effect of all the growth and yield components of onion and larger percentage of small and medium bulbs were obtained in the narrowest spacing [10].

Table 1. Effect of spacing on plant height, number of leave per plant, length of bulb, diameter of bulb, weight of single bulb, yield of bulb per plot, yield per hectare of summer onion

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of leave/plant	Length of bulb (cm)	Diameter of bulb (cm)	Weight of single bulb (g)	Yield of bulb /plot (kg)	Yield (t/ha)
S ₁	47.37 b	8.23 b	3.45 b	3.53 b	22.76 c	1.27 c	15.17 c
S ₂	51.12 a	10.9 a	3.83 a	3.92 a	57.84 b	1.85 a	22.03 a
S ₃	43.78 c	7.4 c	2.88 c	2.95 c	66.64 a	1.59 b	19.04 b
LSD (0.05)	0.81	0.31	0.14	0.11	0.31	15.52	0.10
CV (%)	2.07	4.29	5.27	4.27	8.29	7.58	5.63

In a column, means with similar letter (s) are not significantly different by LSD at 5% level of significance.

S₁: 10 cm × 15 cm, S₂: 15 cm × 15 cm, S₃: 20 cm × 15 cm

3.2 Yield and yield attributes influenced by nutrient sources

Nutrient sources had significant variations for plant height, number of leaves, bulb length and bulb diameter, bulb weight and estimated yield per hectare. The longest plant (52.00 cm), highest leaves plant⁻¹ (10.48), length of bulb (3.54 cm), diameter of bulb (3.75 cm), weight of single bulb (54.28 g), yield of bulb plot⁻¹ (1.73 kg), yield hectare⁻¹ (20.61 ton) was recorded from F₁ (Vermicompost 7 t/ha) while the shortest plant (45.67 cm), lowest leaves plant⁻¹ (7.81), length of bulb (3.54 cm), diameter of bulb (2.26 cm), lowest weight of single bulb (45.97 g), yield of bulb plot⁻¹ (1.47 kg), yield hectare⁻¹ (17.60 ton) was found from F₀ (Control) treatment which is statically similar to F₃ treatment. Maximum leaf length, plant height, bulb length and yield ha⁻¹ were recorded due to using organic nutrient sources [1]. Organic material such as farmyard manure, mustard oil cake, vermicompost, poultry manure and bio-slurry improve soil physical and chemical properties that might be important for plant growth. Many researchers have found that addition of animal manure resulted in higher onion yield and nutrient uptake compared to NPK fertilizer [13] & [3]. The height bulb diameter was recorded with the application of FYM +vermicompost in onion [14]. The application of vermicompost alone has produced significantly higher bulb yield and fresh weight of bulb [11],[6] & [9].

Table 2. Effect of nutrient sources on plant height, number of leave per plant, length of bulb, diameter of bulb, weight of single bulb, yield of bulb per plot, yield per hectare of summer onion

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of leave/plant	Length of bulb (cm)	Diameter of bulb (cm)	Weight of single bulb (g)	Yield of bulb /plot (kg)	Yield (t/ha)
F ₀	45.67 c	7.81 d	3.24 b	3.26 c	45.97 c	1.47 c	17.60 c
F ₁	52.00 a	10.48 a	3.54 a	3.75 a	54.28 a	1.73 a	20.61 a
F ₂	47.89 b	9.26 b	3.39 ab	3.48 b	49.90 b	1.60 b	19.07 b
F ₃	47.12 b	8.15 c	3.37 b	3.37 bc	46.18 c	1.48 c	17.72 c
LSD (0.05)	0.93	0.35	0.16	0.13	0.36	17.92	0.12
CV (%)	2.07	4.29	5.27	4.27	8.29	7.58	5.63

In a column, means with similar letter (s) are not significantly different by LSD at 5% level of significance.

F₀: Control (No nutrients), F₁: Vermicompost (7 t/ha), F₂: Mustard oil cake (6 t/ha), F₃: Inorganic fertilizer (N-110 kg, P-50 kg, K-125 kg/ha)

3.3 Combined effects of spacing and nutrient sources on yield and yield attributes of summer onion

The combined effects of spacing and nutrients showed significant difference on yield and yield attributes of summer onion. The longest plant (53.78 cm) and leaves plant⁻¹ (9.15) was recorded from S₂F₁ (15 cm × 15 cm + Vermicompost 7 t/ha) treatment combination which is statically identical to S₂F₂ treatment combination while the shortest (42.12 cm) plant and leaves plant⁻¹ (6.81) was observed in S₃F₀ (20 cm × 15 cm + Control) treatment combination. However, the highest length of bulb (4.03 cm) was recorded from S₂F₁ (15 cm × 15 cm + Vermicompost 7 t/ha) treatment

combination which is statically similar to S₂F₃ and S₂F₂ treatment combination and the lowest length of bulb (2.69 cm) was found from S₃F₀ (20 cm x 15 cm + Control) treatment combination which is statically identical to S₃F₁ treatment combination. The highest diameter of bulb (4.40 cm) was observed from S₂F₁ (15 cm x 15 cm + Vermicompost 7 t/ha) treatment combination and the lowest diameter of bulb (2.73 cm) was found from S₃F₀ (20 cm x 15 cm + Control) treatment combination which is statically identical to S₃F₁ treatment combination. On the other hand, the maximum weight of single bulb (75.32 g) was recorded from S₃F₁ (20 cm x 15 cm + Vermicompost 7 t/ha) treatment combination and the lowest weight of single bulb (20.98 g) was found from S₁F₀ (10 cm x 15 cm + Control) treatment combination. Whereas the highest yield of bulb plot⁻¹ (2.02 kg) and yield hectare⁻¹ (24.06 ton) was recorded from S₂F₁ (15 cm x 15 cm + Vermicompost 7 t/ha) treatment combination and the lowest yield of bulb plot⁻¹ (1.17 kg), and yield hectare⁻¹ (13.98 ton) was found from S₁F₀ (10 cm x 15 cm + Control) treatment combination (Table 3). that the growth of onion parameters was significantly influenced by the application of soil and foliar application of nutrients with proper spacing [1]. Significantly higher plant height in onion with application of vermicompost was reported [17]. This might be vermicompost has an effective means for improving soil aggregation, structure and fertility, increasing microbial diversity and populations, improving the moisture-holding capacity of soil, increasing the soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) and increasing crop yield [6].

Table 3. Combined effect of spacing and nutrient sources on plant height, number of leave per plant, length of bulb, diameter of bulb, weight of single bulb, yield of bulb per plot, yield per hectare of summer onion

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of leave/plant	Length of bulb (cm)	Diameter of bulb (cm)	Weight of single bulb (g)	Yield of bulb /plot (kg)	Yield (t/ha)
S ₁ F ₀	46.78de	8.15 cd	3.46 d	3.46 e	20.98 k	1.17 k	13.98 k
S ₁ F ₁	47.78.cd	8.15 cd	3.53 cd	3.53 de	24.38 h	1.37 h	16.25 h
S ₁ F ₂	45.78 ef	7.81 de	3.16 e	3.40 e	23.18 i	1.30 i	15.45 i
S ₁ F ₃	49.12 bc	8.81 ab	3.66 bcd	3.73 bcd	22.53 j	1.26 j	15.02 j
S ₂ F ₀	48.12 cd	8.48 bc	3.56 cd	3.60 cde	56.81 f	1.82 c	21.64 c
S ₂ F ₁	53.78 a	9.15 a	4.03 a	4.40 a	63.16 c	2.02 a	24.06 a
S ₂ F ₂	52.78 a	9.15 a	3.93 ab	3.90 b	58.91 e	1.88 b	22.44 b
S ₂ F ₃	49.78 b	8.81 ab	3.79 abc	3.80 bc	52.50 g	1.68 d	20.00 d
S ₃ F ₀	42.12 h	6.81 f	2.69 f	2.73 g	60.12 d	1.44 g	17.17 g
S ₃ F ₁	43.78 g	7.48 e	2.79 f	2.80 g	75.32 a	1.81 c	21.52 c
S ₃ F ₂	45.12 fg	7.81 de	3.09 e	3.16 f	67.62 b	1.62 e	19.32 e
S ₃ F ₃	44.12 g	7.48 e	2.93 ef	3.13 f	63.52 c	1.52 f	18.15 f
LSD (0.05)	1.62	0.58	0.28	0.22	0.62	0.031	0.21
CV (%)	7.14	8.31	5.27	4.27	13.51	7.58	5.63

In a column, means with similar letter (s) are not significantly different by LSD at 5% level of significance.

S₁: 10 cm x 15 cm, S₂: 15 cm x 15 cm, S₃: 20 cm x 15 cm; F₀: Control (No nutrients), F₁: Vermicompost (7 t/ha), F₂: Mustard oil cake (6 t/ha), F₃: Inorganic fertilizer (N-110 kg, P-50 kg, K-125 kg/ha)

4. CONCLUSIONS

The current study demonstrated that both spacing and nutrient sources significantly influenced the yield and yield attributes of summer onions. The optimal results were observed with a spacing of 15 cm x 15 cm and the application of vermicompost at 7 t/ha. This combination yielded the highest plant height, number of leaves, bulb size, and overall yield per hectare. Therefore, adopting this spacing and nutrient management practice can substantially enhance summer onion production.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declares that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version,

model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. ChatGPT, GPT-3.5, large language model and Open AI, Microsoft
2. Quillbot, windows word version 16.0.1162 & word online, formal model and writing tool that uses generative AI to do a variety of tasks related to writing.

7. REFERENCES

1. Anburani A., Gayathiri M. Response of soil and foliar application of organic nutrients on growth in onion (*Allium cepa* var. *Aggrigatum*). Asian Journal of Horticulture, 2009 4(2): 467-469. <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.5555/20133289073>
2. Azam, M. G., Islam, M., Gulandaz, M. A. and Mahmud, J. A. Effect of different source of nutrients on the performance, growth and quality of summer onion. Journal of Agronomy, 2015. 6(1):159-162. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jesnr.v6i1.22059>
3. Bagali A.N., Patil H. B., Chimmad V.P., Patil P.L., Patil R.V. Effect of inorganics and organics on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2012 25(1): 112-115.
4. BBS. Statistical Year book of Bangladesh. Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning. Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2023, 152 p.
5. Chanu K.I., Devi D.A.B., Singh D.U.C., Devi N.S., Singh S.N.G. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and spacing on growth and yield of common onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Prema 178 under Manipur condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 2022. 11(4): 1268-1274
6. Dhotre M., Allolli T.B. Performance of kharif onion under the influence of phosphorus fertilizer in combination with or without bio-phosphorus fertilizer on growth and yield. Environmental Science and Natural Resources, 2012.6(1): 159-162.
7. Elhag A.Z., Osman H.M. Effect of plant spacing on onion (*Allium cepa* L.) seeds quality. Universal Journal of Applied Science, 2013. 1(2): 52-55. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujas.2013.010204>
8. Hargreaves J.C., Adl M.S., Waeman P.R. A review of the use of composted municipal solid waste in agriculture. Nova scotia, Canada, Journal of Agricultural Ecosystem Environment, 2008. 123: 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2007.07.004>
9. Indira S., Singh S. J. Effect of vermicompost and biofertilizer on yield and quality of rabi onion (*Allium cepa*) cv. Puna Red. Agricultural Science Digest, 2014. 34(2): 144-146. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0976-0547.2014.00035.4>
10. Islam M.R., Mukherjee A., Quddus K.G., Sardar P.K., Hossain M. Effect of spacing and fertilizer on the growth and yield of onion. International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research, 2015. 4 (10):2277-8616.
11. Jayathilake P.K.S., Reddy I.P., Srihari D., Reddy K.R. Productivity and soil fertility status as influenced by integrated use of N-fixing biofertilizers, organic manures and inorganic fertilizers in onion. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2006. 2(1): 46-58. <https://doi.org/10.4038/jas.v2i1.8112>
12. Khan M.A. Hasan M.K., Milah M.A.J., Alam M.M., Masum A.S.M.H. Effect of Plant spacing on Growth and Yield of Different Varieties of Onion. Pakistan Journal of Biological Science, 2003. 6(18): 1582-1585. <https://doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2003.1582.1585>
13. Kumar A., Singh R., Chhillar R.K. Influence of N and potassium application on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy, 2001. 46(4): 742-746. <https://doi.org/10.59797/ija.v46i4.3340>
14. Mamatha, H.N., Yeledhalli, N.A., Prakash, S.S., Alloli, T.B. and Ravi, M.V. 2006. Application of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen on yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) and some soil properties in alfisol. Asian Journal of Horticulture, 2006.3(1): 33-37.

15. Mohanty A., Behera P., Harichandan S. Effect of nutrient management on the growth and productivity of onion. *Agricultural Science Digest*, 2015. 35 (3): 241-243. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-0547.2015.00056.7>
16. Mondal M.F., Brewster J.L., Morris F.E.L., Butler H.A. Bulb development in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Effect of plant density and sowing date in field conditions. *Annals of Botany*, 1996. 58(2):187-195. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a087199>
17. Reddy R.U., Reddy M.S. Availability of major nutrients in soil as influenced by integrated nutrient management in tomato-onion cropping system. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 2011. 68(2): 224-228
18. Suem M. A., Ali M., Robbani M., Bose S. K., Rahman M. S. Growth and Yield of Onion as Influenced by Organic Manures. *Journal of Agroforestry and Environment*, 2013. 7(2): 175-178.
19. Bettoni MM, Mogor ÁF, Pauletti V, Goicoechea N, Aranjuelo I, Garmendia I. Nutritional quality and yield of onion as affected by different application methods and doses of humic substances. *Journal of food composition and analysis*. 2016 Aug 1;51:37-44.
20. Solanki H, Vishwanath, Diwakar J, Singh M. Effect of Nutrient Management on Growth, Yield and Quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.* [Internet]. 2023 Aug. 26 [cited 2024 Jul. 3];35(19):758-64. Available from: <https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/article/view/3608>
21. Garde AP, Shinde SJ, Kale AS. Effect of Inorganic Fertilizers (NPK) with Organic Sources (Biomix and Humic Acid) on the Bulb Quality and Storage Quality of Onions (*Allium cepa* L.). *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change.* [Internet]. 2022 Nov. 17 [cited 2024 Jul. 3];12(12):148-70. Available from: <https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/1449>