

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_120153
Title of the Manuscript:	Status and Challenges of Agripreneurship: Relevance in COVID-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes. The agricultural activities are most important for the current world. Especially, creating agripreneurship is about most important role of the both private and public concern. So, knowing the status and challenges of agripreneurship during the pandemic will determine the extraordinary plan and policies for their welfare.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. But, given the types and concepts of agripreneurship seems in this work are an additional contents.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes. Need to give the part of methodology.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 1.8

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Title of the figure.01 and it's sources need to be varied. It has been given in a line.</p> <p>2. The study has not given the part of methodology which is usually given in the review article with a details of period of the study, number of previous studies reviewed, observations from previous studies, scope of the study.</p> <p>3. Under the 4.1, given table has not been titled.</p> <p>4. Author has to develop some more effective policy recommendations to overcome the challenges of agripreneurship during the difficulties.</p> <p>5. Under the 3.2, the graph can be named as figure 02. But, author has named as table.02. it has to be changed. Also the graph should be in detail of the content 'X' axis. But, given details are hidden.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>KR. Jeyakumar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Arumugam Pillai Seethai Ammal College, Alagappa University, India</p>