

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_119719</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Potential Toxicity and side effects associated with long-term consumption of walnuts (<i>Cola verticillata</i>: Sterculiaceae): Anti-Meiosis in the insect Assay</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>The results of this research manuscript allow others to learn and expose this information so that SCIENTIFIC society ultimately benefits.</b></li> <li><b>The title of the article is appropriate to the work with a simple modification: study of the potential toxicity and side effects associated with long-term consumption of walnuts (<i>Cola verticillata</i>: Sterculiaceae): Anti-Meiosis in the insect Assay</b></li> <li><b>yes the summary of the article is complete according to the stages of study assigned</b></li> <li><b>The manuscript has deep thought. There are many principles for applying to ensure a manuscript is accepted for publication</b></li> <li><b>in this research work The authors have maintained their correct scientific sense of purpose throughout the writing process in order to fulfill their mission of adding value and knowledge to the scientific community and these are important points to remember when writing and submitting manuscripts.</b></li> <li><b>The references are sufficient and more recent with exceptions depending on the needs of the research work.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes we can say that the linguistic/English quality of the article is generally suitable for scientific communications and for readers</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The authors were able to achieve the research role of adding scientific value and experimental knowledge to the scientific community and these are important points to remember when writing and submitting manuscripts.</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bouchiha Hanene</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Echahid Cheikh Lari Tebessi Tebessa University, Algeria</b>