

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_118734</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Behçet's Disease Current Therapies: MTX- Imuran and Long-Term Effect of VIT D and Colchicine in this Population, Multi Centric Cohort Study from Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes offcourse the manuscript is very much important and helpful for scientific especial medical community. It will help the researchers to cope with Behçet's Disease b using different techniques such as use of Vitamin D and Chlochicine. li dinf it very impressive work as scientific researcher.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Absolutely the title suggested by the Author is very much suitable relevant to the nature of their work.</b></p> <p>3. <b>As the abstract is the main theme of any research work, as a reviewer i found this abstract comprehensive and according to the research work. The way of writing the abstract was good and easily explained their nature of work along with their findings.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, Subsections and structure of the manuscript is according to Author's guidelines as suggested by the journal.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct as it is written in scientific way/method.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Some of the refrences are as earlier than 2010 and a few are before 2000. In my view/suggestion all the refrences should be recent as later than 2010 to onwards. Overall all references are related and authentic.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes language quality is suiatable for scholarly/scientific community.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>I am very happy to say that this manuscript is very informative for scientific community especially using Vitamin D and Cholchicne in this regard to cope with many health issues especially Behçet's Disease.</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Ahmed Saqib</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan</b>