

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120308
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterisation of soil properties of CRC-2 and CRC-3 at ITM University, under Gwalior region, M.P
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article study on char racterrisation of soil properties during the year 2024 collected soil analysed soil physical parameters like bulk desity, mechanical anlysis, porosity, particle density, moisture cotent, osmotic presure and total dissolved soil; this article has the content off investigating and researching soil properties to understand how land use is meaningful to the government and the community, so I very like it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This title is appropriate to the research content	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be revised and adjusted to resemble the research results section. In the results, there are 7 subsections, so the abstract need to the same them.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and stucture of the manuscript appropriate	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscrip iss based on soil investigation data with detailed steps designed, then going to the filed to collec soil samples, bringing them to the laboratory to analyzing the. Statistics using software and writing scientific reports, so it is highly reliable	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. :	The author has 22 references of which the lastest documets are only 2 document from 2017 and 2018; we recommend that authors find more documents from 2019 – 2024 that related to the manuscript. 1/ Luyen huu Cu, Luyen Thi Ha, 2017. Research on physical properties of acrisols in hilly areas of Lang Giang district, Bac Giang province. Vietnam Institute of Agricultural Sciences Joyurnal 2/ Naro Pandapotan Pasaribu, Enni Dwi Wahjunie, Suria Darma Tarigan, 2023. Characteristics of soil physical properties in different soil management of oxisols, and inceptisols. Faculty of Agriculture, Soil Science Study Program. Department of Soil Science and Land Resources. Bogor Agricultural Institute, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia. DOI: 10.21107/agrovigor.v16i2.19869 3/ Sumani, Alfia Nisa Widhiyastuti, Mujiyo, Ganjar Herdiansyah, Siti Maro'ah, 2024 THE STUDY OF RELATIONSHIP OF SOIL PHYSICS HEALTH AND MICRO-CLIMATE CHARACTERISTICS ON PADDY FIELDS. Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia. https://doi.org/10.55043/jaast.v8i1.194	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of article is acceptable	
Optional/General comments	The article needs to edit the the abstract and add references to be accepted	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thai Thanh Luom
Department, University & Country	Kien Giang University, Vietnam