

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_120558
Title of the Manuscript:	Title: Drug-induced Cornea verticillata: About 2 cases.
Type of the Article	It is Case Report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Cornea verticillata is a condition of deposition of drugs and some other metabolic products. In case of a disease it is helpful to diagnosis of that particular congenital disease and in cases of drugs it shows adverse drug reactions and helps to identify that particular drug causing this. Corneal deposits helps in diagnosis of diseases which is a non invasive processor and easily identify by Ophthalmoscope. E.g. In case of R.A. patient taking medication for a long time and unable to tell which type of medication he take for R.A. If we examine that patient's cornea by ophthalmoscope and see these cornea verticillata, the patient was taking Hydroxychloroquine which we easily identify. I like this case report as it is helpful to other clinical researcher to know about the drugs and the disease which causes the Cornea verticillata and also how it looks like in ophthalmological examination as all clinical researchers are not an ophthalmologist.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Drug-induced Cornea verticillata: A case reports of 2 cases which is better i am consider</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract is comprehensive but if it is structured it shows more meaningful and attractive. As devided in subsections- 1-AIM or Objective, 2- Case Presentation, 3- Discussion and 4- Conclusion. "Several case reports have described resolution of whorled cornea with the use of topical heparin." If this line also added in the Abstract it is better.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Some subsections are missing in Manuscript these are as follow- 1- Competing Interest 2- Consent 3-Ethical Approval 4- Authors Contribution As in case reports patients are involved so consent and ethical approval is necessary to complete manuscript. In Manuscripts not mention whether Consent and Ethical Approval was taken or not.</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I think without Consent and Ethical Approval in clinical study no manuscript is Scientifically Correct in medical science as a authors don't mentioning about these points. But in other aspects case reports gives knowledge to other researchers and also helpful to identify such clinical condition. English language is good others can easily understand what the authors write in this manuscript. I am not an ophthalmologist so in ophthalmic point of view don't write any comments.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =</p>	<p>In references Author not follow reference patterns as mentioned in Journal Guideline- 1. After writing 6 authors name then you write et al In reference no. 2 author don't use et al and in reference no. 8, 13 et al comes after writing 3-4 authors name. 2. In reference no. 3 &6- and is mentioned between last and previous author which is not correct. 3. Please see author's guideline to follow referencing style. 4. References are Sufficient.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes English quality is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijay Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Rani Durgawati Medical College, India