

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120576
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC VARIABILITY IN OKRA (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) GENOTYPES
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because genotype variation is so high in the current study, characteristics give a large deal of scope for selection due to high genetic variability in genetic coefficient of variation and PCV. The work has the potential to have a significant impact in the field, but the results are not sufficiently supported by experimental data, and the write-up, experimental work, and accompanying discussion are insufficiently deep.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Your abstract was poorly written. I was missing more in-depth information on the actual affects.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There wasn't enough specific information available regarding the effects of every aspect that were evaluated. If the authors could provide more data tables, diagrams, and statistics to back up each of your suggested paths of action, according to me, it would be excellent.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In order to assess the potential of current genotypes, the current study examined the variability, heritability, and genetic advancement for several quantitative parameters in okra. This assessment is important because it shows the genetic diversity of the starting materials, which supports the possibility of future development. The degree of genetic variability present in the germplasm is a major factor in the success of a breeding effort aiming at quantitative qualities. Heritability and genetic advance estimates are useful tools for breeders to use while improving crops. Economic yield is a complicated, quantitative trait that is impacted by various yield components and environmental conditions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	No The reference list is inadequate and out-of-date.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I suggest accepting this original work with serious major adjustments since it gives readers no scientific value in its current state. The theoretical framework is promising but incomplete. While this manuscript addresses an interesting issue, it is not publishable in its current form. There are many major issues that need to be addressed before it can be published.</p> <p>The work has the potential to have a significant impact in the field, but the results are not sufficiently supported by experimental data, and the write-up, experimental work, and accompanying discussion are insufficiently deep. There are numerous written content disruptions in this manuscript. There was not enough rationale or organizing concept that I could find. The manuscript was composed in an incomplete state. The entire subject was disorganized, and I couldn't find almost any organization or explanation that seemed logical. This manuscript has too many structural issues, which makes it hard to follow the argument. This manuscript contains an elaborate literature review, but definitions of the key concepts are needed in the introduction. Unfortunately, the language and sentence structures of this manuscript are at times incomprehensible. There are a number of verbs and phrases that are repeated throughout the text. For a good peer review, the article requires extensive language editing and a complete rewrite. Also, the discussion that took place was extremely disorganized and useless. Their abstract, introduction, discussion and conclusion were poorly written. The entire subject was disorganized, and I couldn't find almost any organization or explanation that seemed logical. The representation of the data you submitted in the results section does not contain any statistical signs that support the decisions that you have stated. Additionally, references should be included to support this section. It was unclear how you explained this statistical theory in the findings section. Where are your experiments for this design's verification? Statistical evaluation of the gathered data should be the main focus of your design, which is and the bulk of the decisions you make ought to be presented in accordance with established academic guidelines. The discussion that occurred was also utterly pointless and messy. Your discussion section was not written clearly without grammatical or spelling errors. The content is a collection of weak phrases, and the presentation is poor.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Shahira H. EL-Moslamy</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute, City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications, Egypt</p>