

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120576</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC VARIABILITY IN OKRA (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench) GENOTYPES</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>The aim of the manuscript is to explain the genotypic and phenotypic variations in 31 genotypes of okro; these variations will provide information that will be useful for the improvement and breeding of okro. However, the manuscript failed to mention the 31 genotypes used for the study; the results did not show the differences in each genotype and did not compare the differences of each genotype. The Table also did not capture the differences in each genotype; the quantitative data presented were of okro with no reference to any particular genotype at all.</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>The title is not suitable because the manuscript neither captured genetic study nor mentioned the genotypes involved in the study. The author should, therefore, rewrite this manuscript to capture exactly what he carried out in the study thus, an appropriate title should be given to this manuscript.</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>		
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>Some of the references are too old stemming over 75 years old</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The punctuation and sentence construction of this manuscript is fall below the standard of a scientific paper. The authors should please, rewrite the manuscript so that the value and scientific rigor of their study can be visible</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Obiageri Florence Ajah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences, Nigeria</b>