

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119357
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sowing dates and nitrogen levels on growth, yield, quality and economics of Fodder maize (Zea mays L.) SFM-1
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1.The content of paper is quite impressive and the emerging topic related to Effect of sowing dates and nitrogen levels on growth, yield, quality and economics of Fodder maize (Zea mays L.) SFM-1</p> <p>2. yes its appropriate</p> <p>3.Abstract is structured, and is explaining summary of research in quite impressive way.</p> <p>4.yes appropriate. All sections related to this manuscripts are satisfactory and acceptable</p> <p>5.Yes impressive way of writing but should remove quotations, if possible, to look more scientific.</p> <p>6. References of this manuscript are older, this will make study weaker for readers and citations. Etc. It would be quite better to mention latest contents in the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes quality of English is good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lubna Ahmed Soomro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Sindh, Pakistan</b>