

ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF PATHOGENS ASSOCIATED WITH POSTHARVEST WHITE YAM (*Dioscorea rotundata* L) TUBER ROT

ABSTRACT

Tubers of white yam cultivars (*Gbangu*, *Dan-Anacha*, *Hembakwase*, *Pepa* and *Amula*) showing symptoms of rot were collected from five farms in five local government areas of Zone "A" Senatorial District of Benue State, Nigeria and assessed for fungal and bacteria contents. Eight isolates (five fungi and three bacteria) were isolated from the samples and identified using morphological, cultural, physiological and biochemical tests as well as a standard identification guide. The fungal isolates identified were *Aspergillus niger*, *Botrydioplatyosporium*, *Zygosaccharomyces bailli*, *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* and *Myrothecium verrucaria*, while *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were the bacterial isolates identified.

Pathogenicity tests revealed that all the organisms induced rots in healthy white yam tubers after seven days of inoculation with *Aspergillus niger* being the most prevalent, *Botrydioplatyosporium*, the most virulent, while *Hembakwase* was the most susceptible white yam variety in the study area.

Keywords: Pathogens, Pathogenicity test, virulent, morphology, culture, postharvest.

1. INTRODUCTION

White yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* L) is a monocotyledonous plant that belongs to the genus *Dioscorea* and the family *Dioscoreaceae* [1]. It is an important food crop in West Africa and other tropical countries, including East Africa, Central Africa, the Caribbean, South America, South East Asia and India [1, 2, 3]. White yam tuber which is the thickened fleshy underground root serves as an asexual reproductive organ or nutrient storage reserve for the dry months is one of the major sources of carbohydrates (about 78 %); minerals (phosphorus, calcium, iron, magnesium, potassium, sodium, zinc, copper and selenium); vitamins such as riboflavin, thiamine, niacin, pantothenic acid, and vitamins A, B6, C and E. It also contains large amounts of water and fibre as well as small amounts of fats and proteins [4]. The yam tuber is the most economic part of the crop and is consumed roasted, boiled, pounded or fried as well as peeled, dried and made into flour for baking and steaming for swallowing with soup. It also serves as a source of raw material in the industry for the production of alcohol, starch, and other processed food products [5]. Additionally, the white yam has considerable social and cultural significance among the people of South-Eastern and North-Central Nigeria.

White yam is widely cultivated in Nigeria, Ghana, Ivory Coast, Togo, Gabon, Central African Republic and Western parts of the Democratic Republic of Congo. These countries, referred to as "yam belt" produce about 93% of the World's annual yam production, estimated at 38.5 million metric tons and Nigeria alone accounts for about 70 % (26.4 million metric tons) in the year 2000 and 36.72 million metric tons in 2006 [6, 7]. Yam-producing states in Nigeria include Benue, Taraba, Nasarawa and Adamawa. Others are Cross River, Oyo, Delta, Edo, Ekiti, Kaduna, Kwara, Imo, Ogun, Ondo, Osun and Plateau states. Out of these, Benue state is said to be the largest yam producer with an average annual yam sale of 1.5 million metric tons [8].

Globally, about one-third of the food produced today is lost, wasted or discarded as a result of inefficiency in the human-management food chain, which amounts to about 1.3 billion tons per year [9]. In addition, 30 to 40 % of the food crops produced in the world are not consumed as a result of damage, rotting as well as pests and diseases which affect crops after harvest [8]. It has been reported that over 25 % of the yams produced in the world are lost annually to diseases, pests, and nematodes [3, 8], and in many African countries, including Nigeria, yam storage is still largely by traditional methods where postharvest losses can be as high as 50 % [8, 10]. Nigeria lost an annual average of 10 % of its yam tubers between 1961 – 2009 [8]. The country recorded the highest yam lost in 2006 with over 3.7 million metric tons [8,11].

Post-harvest losses of yam tubers have been attributed to high temperature, insects, nematodes, rodents, respiration of the dormant tuber, loss of water by evaporation, sprouting and microbial attack with microorganisms being the major

culprit [12,13]. Fungi, bacteria, and nematodes are said to be the major causes of white yam tuber rot with fungi accounting for about 80 % of storage rot in West Indies and 57 – 77 % in Nigeria [10, 14,33,34]. The wounding of yam tubers by rodents, nematodes, insects, and even man during weeding, harvesting and post-harvest handling makes it easy for fungi and bacteria to penetrate the tubers and cause diseases that could be transferred to the store leading to considerable quantitative loss in weight or volume and qualitative losses like reduced nutritional value, changes to taste, colour, texture or cosmetic features with the attendant adverse effects [15]. Substantial losses occur during prolonged storage of yam. Losses up to 10 – 20 % [13] may occur during the first 3 months and 30 – 60 % after 6 months of storage [16, 17].

Microbial rot of white yam tubers can be grouped into dry rot, soft rot, and wet or watery rot depending on the rot symptoms, invading pathogen, and the infected tissue. Dry rot is characterized by infected tissues becoming hard and dry with different colourations depending on invading pathogens [18, 19]. *Fasarium species* (*Fasariumoxysporium*, *Fasariummonilforme*, and *Fasariumsolani*), *Penecillium*spp, and nematodes such as *Scutellonemabradys* are reported to be the causative agents of dry rot [18]. Yam tubers showing symptoms of soft rot causes the infected tissue to become soft and in sometimes ramified by the fungal mycelium that turns the tissue brown and in some cases wet with the tendency to break off due to a rapid collapse of the cell walls [20, 21]. Fungi responsible for Soft rot are *Armillariellamellea*, *Mucurcircinelloides*, *Rhizoctoniasolani* and *Rhizopus*spp[22]. In wet or watery rot, the external symptoms are not visible as the decay is internal and the infected tissue disintegrates into a watery mass or whitish fluid from the tissues which can easily be released on the application of a slight pressure. This type of rot is characteristic of bacterial infections such as *Erwinia carotovora* [5, 19].

Other pathogenic fungi of white yam tubers include *Mucor mucedus*, *Aspergiulus flavus*, *Rhizopus stolonifera*, *Aspergiulusniger*, *Aspegillus tamari*, *Botrydiplodiatheobromae*, *Penicillium oxalicum*, *Penecilliumchrysogenom*, *Penecilliumcyolopium*, *Cladosporium herbarum*, *Fusarium oxalicum*, *Penicillium sclerotigenum*, *Trichoderma viride*, *Geotricumcandidum*, *Gleiocladiumroseum*, *Roselina species*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Cylindrocapsuradicola* and *Colletotrichum gloesporiodes*[21]. Pathogens responsible for white yam tuber rot vary with location (even on the same field) and time [11, 19]. It has been observed that in white yam, rotting appears first at the tail end of the yam and then proceeds towards the head regions [20, 18].

Benue state is acclaimed “ The Food Basket State of the Nation” with the largest yam market in Zaki-Biam (Zaki-Biam yam market) in Benue North-East Senatorial zone and other numerous yam markets across the state [11]. The foregoing indicates a high level of yam production and yam marketing activities in Benue and the North-East zone of the state in particular. However, the finding of many researchers [11, 23] on the condition of farming households in Benue, reveals

that over 30 % of yam farming households in Benue state are still experiencing low income from yam production and food insecurity due to poor postharvest handling. These findings suggest that there might be a prevailing significant level of postharvest losses of yam that may have been negatively affecting the standard of living, food security, and safety of yam farming households in Benue North-East.

Studies on microbial rot of white yam tubers in the area are scarce. Hence the causative agents of white yam tuber rot and severity are relatively unknown by farmers in the study area. Therefore, this study is aimed at isolation and identification of pathogens associated with white yam tuber rot. Pathogenicity test against apparently healthy white yam tubers is also intended. Positive findings shall be communicated to all stakeholders for precautionary measures against white yam tuber rot pathogens.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Source of materials

Five varieties of white yam tubers *Hembakwase*, *Pepa*, *Dan-Anacha*, *Amula* and *Gbangu* showing symptoms of rot were collected from five farms in Katsina-Ala, Ukum, Logo, Konshisha, and Vandeikya local government areas of the zone "A" senatorial district of Benue State for the isolation and identification of the pathogens. The samples were properly labeled at the collection points, packaged in cellophane bags and then transported to the Department of Botany, Benue State University, Makurdi for authentication by a plant Taxonomist before analysis.

The culture media: Nutrient broth, Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA), Nutrient Agar ((NA), MacConkey Agar, Triple Sugar Iron Agar (TSIA) and Simon citrate Agar were all purchased from, TITAN BIOTECH TM MEDIA, RAJASTHAN, INDIA through Agbe Science, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria.

The reagents used for the study, Grams staining reagents (Luggol's iodine, crystal violet, safranin, and absolute ethanol); Covax reagents; catalase reagent (hydrogen peroxide); oxidase; methanol, methylated spirits and sodium hypochlorite were purchased from BDH Chemicals, England, M&B Laboratory, England and Agbe Science, Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. The chemicals and reagents used in this study were analytical grade and used without any further purification.

The equipment used for the study includes oven, autoclave, incubator, binocular microscope (Olumpus), laminar air flow chamber, weighing balance, pH metre, refrigerator mortar and pestle etc.

2.2 Sterilization of laboratory materials

The method of [24] was used with little modifications. The Inoculation needles, cork borers and scalpels etc were sterilized by dipping in 70 % ethanol and flaming to red hot, while glass wares were thoroughly washed with detergents, rinsed with

sterile water and autoclaved at 121 °C and 15 psi for 15 minutes. The sterilization of the distilled water was done in 1 litre sterilized bottle. Petri dishes, pipettes and measuring cylinders were wrapped properly with aluminium foil before sterilization. The laminar flow was sterilized by swiping them with cotton wool soaked in 70 % ethanol. All glassware used in the experimental procedures were sterilized in 10 % sodium hypochlorite solution, rinsed thoroughly in double-distilled water and dried before used. Aseptic condition was maintained throughout the experiments.

2.3 Microbial isolation

The yam samples were prepared by the method of [24] with little modifications. The samples were washed with sterile distilled water to remove soil debris and air-dried. Each rotten yam was cut with the aid of flamed knife into small pieces of about 5mm at the interphase between healthy and the infected portions of the tuber. The small rotten yam pieces were surface sterilized in 10 % sodium hypochlorite solution for three minutes and washed with sterile distilled water twice. The pieces were blotted dry on sterile Whatman No. 1 filter paper to remove droplets of water and placed in laminar flow cabinets to dry for 5 minutes.

2.4 Preparation of culture media

All media were prepared according to the manufacturer's instruction. Others, (PDA, NA, MacConkey Agar) were autoclaved in bulk and allowed to cool, then poured into sterile Petri dishes, while some (TSIA, SCA) were dispensed into bottles, autoclaved, cooled in slanting positions and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours for sterility check (observing for the absence of microbial growth).

2.5 Inoculation

The inoculation was carried out using the methods of [5, 24] with slight modifications. The media for fungi and bacteria were labeled with individual yam codes respectively. Three pieces of the sterilized yam tubers were picked with sterile forceps and plated into the already prepared Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and Nutrient Agar (NA) respectively. These were properly labeled. Three replicates were made for each sample. The inoculated Petri-dishes were then incubated at 37 °C and observed for 24 – 48 hr for bacteria and up to 7 days for fungi with aseptic conditions being applied throughout the procedure.

2.6 Subculturing and purification

The method of [5] as described by [24] was used with slight modifications. After the period of incubation, subculturing of different mycelia colonies from the inoculated plates was done to obtain pure cultures. Sterilized surgical blades were used to cut different mycelia growth (observed multi-growths) and transferred into newly prepared separate Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and Nutrient Agar (NA) plates for fungi and bacteria respectively. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 – 5 days. Thereafter, several subcultures were done to purify the mixed culture plates so as to obtain pure cultures of fungal and bacterial isolates. The purified plates were transferred into McCartney slants and stored in the refrigerator for characterization.

2.7 Identification of the pure isolates

The fungal isolate were characterized by the methods of [5] as described by [18, 24]. Few strands of the fungi isolates were mounted using a sterile mounted needle in 95 % ethanol, stained with lactophenol cotton blue and placed on a sterile slide. The specimen was spread very well with the aid of two mounted needles, a cover slip gently lowered on the slide and viewed under a binocular Olympic camera microscope (X 40) and photomicrographs were taken. The physical and structural characteristics of the mycelia, septate and non-septate, appearance and colour of colonies, nature of hyphae, growth rate, reproductive and vegetative structures, nature of spores and sporangia and branching of the hyphae, and presence of special organs such as rhizoids etc were observed and compared with fungal identification guides [25, 26, 27, 28] for the identification of the fungal isolates.

The methods of [5, 29] were used for the various tests and observations to identify the bacteria isolates. The bacteria isolates were properly coded and Gram stained. The Gram-stained slides were then examined under a microscope at X 100 objective lens using oil immersion. The Grams` reactions, shapes and features such as extent and mixture of growth, colony elevation, pigmentation, colour, edge and form of colony as well as consistency were recorded. Catalase, oxidase, motility and capsule staining tests were carried out for identification. Sugar fermentation test was carried out using TSIA, while citrate utilization test was performed using Simon citrate agar.

2.8 Pathogenicity test of the pure isolates

The method described by [5, 18, 24] was used without any modification. All the pure cultures obtained from the sub-cultured plates were used for pathogenicity test. The isolates were tested for their ability to initiate disease symptom (cause rot) on the apparently healthy white yam tubers. The fresh healthy white yam tubers (3 for each variety) were washed in sterile water to remove soil debris, surface sterilized by dipping each yam tuber in 10 % (v/v) hypochlorite solution (jik) for 2 minutes to remove surface contaminants, rinsed thrice with sterile water each time for 2 minutes, blotted

with sterile filter paper in the laminar cabinet to dry for 20 minutes and labelled accordingly. Each yam tuber was measured with a sterile plastic ruler and then divided into segments for the fungi and bacteria isolates. A flamed 5 mm cork borer was used to make holes (5 mm deep) on the clean and healthy white yam tubers in an aseptic environment for each isolate, all fungi, all bacteria and all fungi and all bacteria. Petri dishes containing the pure cultures of each isolate were punctured with sterile 6 mm cork borer. Separate sterile inoculating cork borers were used to pick a colony of the disc made and introduced into the holes made in the yam tubers. The cut off flesh from each yam tubers were replaced into the hole, thus blocking the inoculated region on the yam and sealed with masking tape to prevent infestation by other microorganisms. Similar holes were made in the adjacent portion in the yam tuber and closed back with the cut flesh but without any pathogen to serve as control. The inoculated white yam tubers were placed in a safety chamber at room temperature and observed for seven days. The infected tubers were compared with the initial decayed tubers. After the incubation period, the inoculated yam tubers were cut transversely along the point of inoculation into two halves. The inner portions were exposed and the extent of rot and/or infected portions was measured with a transparent plastic ruler and recorded.

The percentage rot severity was calculated by method of [23, 30, 31] as follows

$$\text{Percentage rot severity} = \frac{\text{Area of tissue infected}}{\text{Total surface area}} \times 100 \% \dots \dots \dots (1).$$

The percentage rot severity was ranked on a 5-point scale: 1 = No symptom, 2= ($\geq 1 < 10 \%$) - mild rot, 3 = ($\geq 10 < 25 \%$) - moderate rot), 4 = ($\geq 25 \% < 50 \%$)- severe rot and 5 = ($\geq 50 \%$)- very severe rot [5, 12].

2.9 Statistical Analysis

The data obtained from the zone of inhibition (mm) was analyzed (descriptive statistics and inferential statistics to report the findings and to test hypothesis at 0.05 level of significance respectively) using statistical package for social science, SPSS Version 21. Results were reported as Mean \pm SD. The statistical difference between more than 2 groups of data was evaluated using ANOVA with LSD post hoc test. Differences between means were considered significant at $p < 0.05$.

1. Results and Discussion

3.1 Isolation and identification of pure isolates from rotten white yam tubers

The Fungal pathogens isolated and identified from rotten white yam tubers were *Aspergillus niger*, *Botryodiplodiatheobromae*, *Zygosaccharomyces bailli*, *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* and *Myrothecium verrucaria*.

Biochemical tests confirmed the presence of *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Serratia marcescens*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as the bacterial isolates (Table 1).

Table 1: Biochemical test for identification of bacteria isolates

Test	Micro-organism		
	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
Gram staining reaction	+	+	+
Catalase	-	+	+
Oxidase	-	-	+
Citrate utilization	+	+	+
Gas production	-	-	-
H ₂ S production	-	-	-
Motility	-	+	+
Indole	-	-	-
Pigment	-	Red	Blue
pH (in nutrient broth medium)	7.46	7.49	7.30
Acid	-	+	+

Key; + = positive reaction; - = negative reaction

The result obtained from the area is in agreement with earlier findings [11,18, 19, 24]. Brown hard rot of the white yam tubers in the study area was recorded with the fungi *Apergillusniger* and the bacteria, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in all the white yam varieties sampled in the area. *Apergillusniger* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are known to be pathogenic or opportunistic to humans and may even secrete harmful toxins which are harmful to humans [18, 23, 24].

3.2 Incidence of occurrence of the isolates

Table 2: Incidences of occurrence of the pure isolates

Fungi	Bacteria						
	<i>A. nigeer</i>	<i>B. theobromae</i>	<i>Z. bailli</i>	<i>Z. rouxil</i>	<i>M. verrucaria</i>	<i>K. oxytoca</i>	<i>S. marcenscens</i> <i>P. aroginosa</i>
Yam variety/							

Sample area**Gbangu**

K/Ala	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
Ukum	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+
Logo	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
Konshisha	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
V/Ikya	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-
Incidence	5/5	4/5	2/5	2/5	1/5	2/5	1/5	3/5
Ratio								

Dan-Anacha

K/Ala	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
Ukum	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
Logo	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+
Konshisha	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
V/Ikya	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
Incidence	5/5	4/5	1/5	2/5	2/5	3/5	1/5	1/5
Ratio								

Hembankwase

K/Ala	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+
Ukum	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
Logo	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Kinshisha	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
V/Ikya	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
Incidence	5/5	5/5	3/5	2/5	2/5	2/5	1/5	3/5
Ratio								

Pepa

K/Ala	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
Ukum	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+
Logo	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Konshisha	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-
V/Ikya	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+

Incidence	5/5	5/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	2/5
Ratio								
	Amula							
K/Ala	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
Ukum	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
Logo	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
Konshisha	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
V/Ikya	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
Incidence	5/5	5/5	2/5	1/5	1/5	2/5	2/5	3/5
Ratio								

Table 2 shows the frequency of occurrence of the isolates at the sampled farms in the five local government areas per white yam variety. The result shows that within the same local government area, an organism present in the same white yam variety from one farm may be absent at another farm. There was also similarity or variation of the occurrence across the five local government areas. Generally, the presence of micro-organisms in the different white yam tuber varieties varied from one local government to another. The staggering distributions of the isolates in the tuber varieties as well as the sampling area may be attributed to weather conditions at the time of harvest. The entry of pathogens through bruised tubers during harvesting in November or December to February (late) may cause bruised tuber surfaces to dry up quickly to form a barrier, which reduces microbial entry, and subsequent rot. Harvesting in humid weather conditions make bruised tubers more vulnerable to pathogenic attack, with the attendant tuber rot, damage, and loss. It is observed that favourable environmental conditions may influence the presence of yam pathogens in an area. It is reported by other researchers that the incidence of rotting varies with the species and its distribution as well as within each yam species, but not related to soil mineral status, which does not correlate with the type of microorganism isolated nor percentage of rot. This research finding is corroborated by many researches in which higher rot-causing microorganisms were isolated and identified [5, 24, 30,31, 32].

Table 3: Percentage incidence occurrence of the isolates

Fungi	Percentage
<i>Apergillusniger</i>	100
<i>Botryodiophodiatheobromae</i>	72
<i>Zygosaccharomyces bailli</i>	36

<i>Zygosaccharomycesrouxii</i>	32
<i>Myrothecium verrocaria</i>	28
Bacteria	
<i>Klesbesiellaoxytoca</i>	40
<i>Serratia marcenscens</i>	24
<i>Pseudoonas aeruginosa</i>	52

The result from Table 3 shows that the highest percentage incidence (100 %) was obtained from *Aspergillusniger*, followed by *Botryodiophodiatheobromae*(72 %) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (52 %). The percentage incidence occurrence for *Klesbesiellaoxytoca*, *Zygosaccharomyces bailli*, and *Zygosaccharomycesrouxii*, was 40%, 36 %, and 32 % respectively, while *Serratiamarcenscens* recorded the lowest (24 %).

The result showed that *Aspergillusniger* was present in all the yam varieties sampled with the highest incidence ratio of 5/5 (100 %) in the local government areas. The high incidence ratio of *Aspergillusniger* in all the tubers varieties and locations in the study area is most probably due to its short full growth period (48 hours) [5]. This finding is in agreement with findings of [11,18, 23, 24, 30] where similar microorganisms were isolated and identified from yam tubers.

Botryodioplodiatheobromaewas present at all sample sites in *Hembakwase*, *Pepa* and *Amula* with an incidence ratio of 5/5 (100 %) respectively, but absent in *Gbangu* and *Dan-Anacha* with incidence ratio of 4/5 (80 %) at sample sites in *Vandeikya* and *Konshisha* local government areas respectively.

Gbangu tubers from Katsina-Ala, Logo; and *Konshisha* LGAs; *Dan-Anacha* tubers from Katsina-Ala, Ukum, *Konshisha*, and *Vandeikya* LGAs; *Hembakwase* tubers from Ukum, and *Vandeikya* LGAs, as well as *Pepa* tubers from Ukum, Logo, *Konshisha*, and *Vandeikya* LGAs, showed that *Zygosaccharomyces bailli* was absent. Its incidence ratio in the sampled tubers was 2/5 (40 %) for *Gbangu* and *Amula*; 1/5 (20 %) for *Dan-Anacha*, and *Pepa*; 3/5 (60 %) for *Hembakwase* respectively.

The fungi, *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* was absent in *Gbangu* tubers from Logo, and *Konshisha*; *Dan-Anacha* tubers from Katsina-Ala, Logo, and *Vandeikya* LGAs; *Hembakwase* in Katsina-Ala, Logo, *Konshisha*, and *Vandeikya* LGAs; *Pepa* in Katsina-Ala, Logo, Ukum, and *Vandeikya* LGAs as well as *Amula* in Katsina-Ala, Logo, *Konshisha*, and *Vandeikya* LGAs respectively, but present in *Gbangu* in Katsina-Ala, and Logo; *Dan-Anacha* in Ukum, and *Konshisha*; *Hembakwase* in Logo, and *Konshisha*; *Pepa* in *Konshisha*, and *Amula* in Ukum local government areas respectively. The incidence ratio of the fungi was 2/5 (40 %) in *Gbangu*, *Dan-Anacha*, and *Hembakwase*, and 1/5 (20 %) in *Pepa*, and *Amula* respectively.

Myrothecium verrucaria was present in *Gbangu* in Logo LGA; *Dan-Anacha* in Katsina-Ala, and *Konshisha* LGAs; *Hembakwase* in Katsina-Ala, and *Vandeikya* LGAs; *Pepa*, and *Amula* in Ukum LGA respectively, but absent in

*Gbanguat*Katsian-Ala, Ukum, Konshisha, and Vandeikya LGAs; *Dan-Anacha*at Ukum, Logo, and Vandeiky LGAs; *Hembakwase*at Ukum, Logo, and Konshisha LGAs; *Pepaat* Katsina-Ala, Logo, Konshisha, and Vandeiky LGAs. The highest incidence ratio of 2/5 (40 %) for the fungi was recorded in *Dan-Anacha*, and *Hembakwase*; 1/5 (20 %) in *Gbangu*, *Pepa*, and *Amula* respectively.

The bacteria, *Klebsiella oxytoca* was absent in *Gbangu* in Katsina-Ala, Ukum and Logo LGAs, but present in Konshisha, and Vandeikya LGAs. its incidence ratio was 2/5 (40 %). In *Dan-Anacha*, it was absent in Ukum, and Konshisha LGAs, but present in Katsina-Ala, Logo, and Vandeikya LGAs. with incidence ratio of 3/5 (60 %). The incidence ratio of the bacteria in *Hembakwase* was 2/5 (40 %), with presence in Katsina-Ala, and Ukum, but absence in Logo, Konshisha, and Vandeikya LGAs. In *Pepa*, the bacteria was present in Katsina-Ala, but absent in Ukum, Logo, Konshisha, and Vandeikya LGAs with an incidence ratio of 1/5 (20 %). *Amula* showed presence of the bacteria in Ukum, and Vandeikya, but absence in Katsina-Ala, Logo, and Vandeikya LGAs.

Serratia marcescens's incidence ratio was 1/5 (20 %) in *Gbangu*, *Dan-Anach*, *Hembakwase*, and *Pepa* respectively, but 2/5 (40 %) in *Amula*. The bacteria was present in *Gbangu*, *Dan-Anacha*, *Hembakwase*, and *pepa* in Vandeikya, Katsina-Ala, Konshisha, and Logo respectively while in *Amula*, it was present in Logo and Konshisha with incidence ratio of 2/5 (40 %). The result showed that the bacteria was absent in *Gbangu* tubers from Katsina-Ala, Ukum, Logo and Konshisha LGAs. *Dan-Anacha* tubers from Ukum, Logo, Konshisha, and Vandeikya LGAs recorded absence of *Serratia marcescens*. *Hembakwase* tubers from Katsina-Ala, Ukum, Logo, and Vandeikya showed that the bacteria was absent. *Pepa* tubers from Katsina-Ala, Ukum, Konshisha, and Vandeikya as well as *Amula* tubers from Katsina-Ala, Ukum, and Vandeikya LGAs recorded absence of the bacteria.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa was present in *Gbangu* tubers from Katsina-Ala, Ukum, and Logo, but absent in Konshisha, and Vandeikya LGAs with an incidence ratio of 3/5 (60 %). In *Dan-Anacha* tubers, it was present in Ukum, Logo, and Konshisha LGAs with an incidence ratio of 3/5 (60 %), but absent in Katsina-Ala, and Vandeikya LGAs. In *Hembakwase* tubers, the bacteria was present in Katsina-Ala, Ukum, and Vandeikya LGAs with an incidence ratio of 3/5 (60 %) , but absent in Logo, and Konshisha LGAs. An incidence ratio of 2/5 (40 %) was recorded for the bacteria in *Pepa* tuber varieties with presence in Ukum, and Vandeikya local government areas, while in Katsina-Ala, Logo, and Konshisha LGAs, it was absent. The bacteria was present in *Amula* tubers from Katsina-Ala, Ukum, Logo, and Konshisha LGAs with incidence ratio of 4/5 (80 %), but absent in Vandeikya local government area.

3.3 Pathogenicity test and rot severity

Pathogenicity test shows that the isolates were pathogenic to the healthy white yam tuber with varying degree of rot among the organisms and yam varieties (Table 4). The results shows that *Hembakwase* was more susceptible to

pathogen causing rot, followed by *Amula*, *Gbangu*, *Dan-Anacha* and *Pepa* respectively. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in the virulence potential of these isolates when re-inoculated to healthy white yam tubers with *Botryodiplodiatheobromae* recording the highest rot severity of 56.44 %, followed by *Aspergillus niger* (48.17 %), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (45.38 %), *Serratia marcescens* (45.25 %), *Zygosaccharomyces bailli* (43.66 %), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (40.98 %), *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* (40.75 %), and *Myrothecium verrucaria* (37.31 %) respectively. All fungi and bacteria caused rot severity of 65.03 % and 55.09 % respectively while all isolates recorded rot severity of 68.38 % (Table 4).

Table 4: Pathogenicity test rot measurement results: (cm)

Micro-organism	White yam variety									
	<i>Gbangu</i>		<i>Dan-Anacha</i>		<i>Hembakwase</i>		<i>Pepa</i>		<i>Amula</i>	
	Depth	Width	Depth	Width	Depth	Width	Depth	Width	Depth	Width
Fungi										
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	2.14	2.90	2.55	3.02	7.29	7.59	2.28	3.54	2.10	3.30
<i>Botryodiplodiatheobromae</i>	1.54	4.08	1.34	3.16	8.54	9.26	1.14	2.28	1.52	4.46
<i>Zygosaccharomyces bailli</i>	1.14	3.42	1.38	2.68	8.50	8.50	1.54	2.72	1.54	4.08
<i>Zygosaccharomyces rouxii</i>	1.18	3.66	1.14	5.08	8.08	8.34	1.70	4.52	1.40	3.24
<i>Myrothecium verrucaria</i>	2.14	5.08	1.50	3.08	8.52	8.35	1.58	3.74	1.52	3.50
Bacteria										
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	2.08	2.76	1.04	2.76	6.46	3.54	1.18	2.54	2.46	3.16
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1.72	4.54	1.34	4.54	8.50	2.46	1.54	3.16	1.18	2.40
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1.06	1.54	1.54	1.55	3.54	3.04	1.10	2.0	2.50	4.20
All Bacteria	2.10	3.90	1.90	3.90	4.0	3.60	1.80	3.40	2.50	3.60
All Fungi	3.6	6.60	3.28	6.60	4.34	5.30	3.10	4.60	2.60	4.20
All Isolates	3.54	6.80	4.06	6.80	4.50	5.40	3.46	4.80	2.80	4.50

Conclusion

Post-harvest deterioration of white yam tubers is influenced by the synergistic action of fungi and bacteria. Eight isolates comprising of five fungi: *Aspergillus niger*, *Botryodiplodiatheobromae*, *Zygosaccharomyces bailli*, *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* and *Myrothecium verrucaria*, and three bacteria: *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were isolated from five white yam varieties: *Gbangu*, *Dan-Anacha*, *Hembakwase*,

Pepaand Amula showing symptoms of rot from five farms in five local government areas of Zone “A” Senatorial District of Benue State, Nigeria and identified using morphological, cultural, physiological and biochemical tests as well as standard identification guides. These pathogens have been reported to be the major cause of white yam tuber rot in Nigeria and other parts of the world [4, 11,12, 18, 24, 30].

Pathogenicity tests revealed that all the organisms induced rots in healthy white yam tubers after seven days of inoculation with *Aspergillus niger* being the most prevalent, *Botrydioplotiditheobromae*, the most virulent, while *Hembakwase* was the most susceptible white yam variety in the study area.

Disclosure Statement

The authors hereby declare that this text does not contain any conflict of interest whatsoever.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

References

[1] Coursey, D.G. The Origin and Domestication of Yams in Africa. In: Harlan, J.R. and de Hague, J.M.J., Eds., *Origin of African Plant Domestication in Africa*, Mouton, The Hague, 1976. 383-403. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110806373.383>.

[2] Onwueme, I.C..The Tropical Tuber Crops—Yams, Cassava, Sweet Potato and Cocoyams. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1978, 3-97.

[3] Food and Agricultural Organization (2013). Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, USA.

- [4] Okwu, DE. and Ndu, CU. Evaluation of the Phytonutrients, Mineral and Vitamin Contents of some Varieties of Yam (*Dioscorea* spp). *International Journal of Molecular and Advanced Sciences*, 2006, 2(2):199-203.
- [5] Okigbo, RN. and Odurukwe, CN. Occurrence and control of fungal rot pathogens of yams (*Dioscorea* spp) with leaf extracts of *Chromolaena odorata*, *Carica papaya* and *Aspilia africana*. *Nigerian Journal of Mycology*, 2009, 2(1):154-165.
- [6] Ayanwuyi, E, Akinboye, AO. and Oyetoro, JO. Yam Production in Orire Local Government Area of Oyo State, Nigeria: Farmer's Perceived Constraints. *World Journal of Young Researchers*, 2011, (2):16-21.
- [7] Kleih, U. Phillips, D. Ogbonna, M. and Siwoku, B. (2012). Nigeria-scoping yam value chain analysis Yam Improvement for Income and Food Security in West Africa. pp 1-53.
- [8] FAO Food and Agricultural Organization, Food balance sheet. <http://FAO.Org/Lim500/wrap.pl>. Accessed 19th September, 2023.
- [9] Nahanga, V. An Analysis of Yam Production in Nigeria. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, 2015, (2):659-665. DOI: [10.11118/actaun201563020659](https://doi.org/10.11118/actaun201563020659).
- [10] Amusa, NA. Fungi Associated with Yam Chips in Storage and the Effect on Its Food Value. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2001, 2, 35-39.
- [11] Victor, I. and Okrikata, E. Short Communication Occurrence of fungal pathogens of Ogoja and Pepawhite yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* L.) tuber cultivars in Zaki-Biam, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Plant Protection (NJPP)*, 2019, Vol. 33 (2).
- [12] Ajayi, AO. and Olorundare, SD. Bacterial and Fungi Species Associated with Yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*) Rot at Akanugba-Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria. *Applied Science Research Journal*, 2014, (2) 12-28.
- [13] Akangbe, JA., Oloruntoba, OO., Ayanda, IF. and Komolafe, SE. An Analysis of Yam Storage Strategy to Promote Food Security in Asa Local Government Area of Kwara State, Nigeria. *Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management*, 2012, (5) 550-558. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v5i4.s15>
- [14] Ray, RC., Nedunzhiyan, M. and Balagopalan, C. Microorganisms Associated with Postharvest Spoilage of Yams. *Annals of Tropical Research*, 2000 (22), 31-40.
- [15] Sahore, DA., Nemlin, GJ., Kamenan, A. Changes in nutritional properties of yam (*Dioscorea* spp.), plantain (*Musa* spp.) and cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) during storage. *Trop Sci*. 2007, 47(2):81-88.
- [16] Osunde, ZD. Minimizing postharvest losses in Yam (*Dioscorea* spp.): treatments and techniques. In: Robertson, GL, Lupien, JR (Eds) Using food science and technology to improve nutrition and promote national development. *Afr J Food Agric Nutr. Dev*. 2008, 8:678-690.
- [17] Nweke, FU. Some fungal pathogens of yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) in storage and the effects of their infection on the nutrient composition. *J Biol. Agric Health*. 2015. 5:12-28.
- [18] Shiriki, D, Ubwa, ST. and Shambe, T. Isolation of Nine Microorganisms from Rotten *Dioscorea rotundata* (White Yam) and Antimicrobial Sensitivity Test with Five Plant Extracts. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, 2015, (6), 825-835. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/fns.2015.610086>

[19] Shiriki, D., Obochi, GO., Eke, MO, and Shambe, T. Postharvest Loss Control: Synergistic Plants Extract Inhibition of Ten Microbial Yam Rot Organisms, 2017, 8, (7) 25-32. <https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2017.87051>

[20] Taiga, A. Differential Rate of Dry Rot in *Dioscorea rotundata* (White Yam) along the Tuber Length Due to Rot Causing Fungi. *Advances in Microbiology*, 2012, (2), 452-455. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/aim.2012.24058>

[21] Anjorin, T.S., Nwokocha, O.V. and Sanni, A.D. Morphological Characteristics and Incidence of Diseases on White Yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* L. Poir) Tubers in Abuja, Nigeria. *Nature and Science*, 2014, (12) 58-65. <http://www.sciencepub.net/nature>

[22] Sangoyomi, T.E. (2004). Post harvest deterioration of white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir) and its control [Ph.D. dissertation]. University of Ibadan, Nigeria, p 179.

[23] Gwa, I.V., Bem, A.A, and Okoro, J.K. Yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir) and *D. alata* Lam) fungi and Etiology in Katsina-Ala Local Government Area of Benue State, *Nigerian Journal of Phytopathology and Plant Health*, 2015 (3), 38-43.

[24] Shiriki, D., Ubwa, ST, Yusufu, MI and Shambe, T. (Extraction methods and inhibition studies of Ten plant extracts on nine Yam Rot Pathogenic Microorganisms. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2019>.

[25] Barnett, HL. and Hunter, BB. *Illustrated General of Imperfect Fungi*, 3rd Edition, Burgess Publications Co., Minneapolis, 1972, 241.

[26] Sutton, B.C. *The Coelomycetes Fungi Imperfecti with Pycnidia, Acervuli and Stromata*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England, 1980, 696.

[27] Krieg, N. *Bergeys Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*. Vol. 1, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1984, 21-38.

[28] Navi, SS, Bandyopadhyay, R., Hall, AJ, Bramel-Cox, PJ. A pictorial Guide for the Identification of Mold Fungi on Sorghum Grain. Information Bulletin no. 59 (In En. Summaries in En, Fr). International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics 1999, pp.118.

[29] McClenny, N. Laboratory detection and identification of *Aspergillus* species by microscopic observation and culture. *Med Mycol*. 2005. 1:125–128.

[30] Nkese, I.O., Aniedi-Abasi, A.M., Ekeng, I.O., Effiom, E.I., Edak, A.U., Ene-Obong, E., Valentine, O.N. Characterization of some fungal pathogens causing anthracnose disease on yam in Cross Rivers State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Pest Management*. PLoS ONE, 2022, 17(6): e0270601. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270601>.

[31] Gwa, V.I., and Akomba, R.A.. Studies on the antimicrobial potency of five crude plant extracts and chemical fungicide in *in vitro* control of *Aspergillus flavus*, causal agent of white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*) tuber rot. *Journal of Plant Sciences and Agricultural Research*, 2016, 1(1): 1-8.

[32] Markson, AA., Amadioha, AC., Omosun, G., Madunagu, BE., Udo, SE. and Umana, EJ. Control of *Botryodiplodia theobroma* causing tissue rot of White yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir.). *Scholarly Journal of Agricultural Science*, 2012, 2(1): 1-7.

33. Okigbo RN. Mycoflora of tuber surface of white yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir) and postharvest control of pathogens with *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mycopathologia*. 2003 Sep;156:81-5.

34. Dania VO, Fadina OO, Ayodele M, Kumar PL. Evaluation of isolates of *Trichoderma*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* species as treatment for the control of post-harvest fungal rot disease of yam (*Dioscorea* spp.). *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*. 2016 Nov 7;49(17-18):456-70.

UNDER PEER REVIEW