

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJARR_119926</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design of Facial Recognition Door Opening System with Push Button Combination Using Intelligent Computers Based on the Internet of Things</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The design of a Facial Recognition Door Opening System with Push Button Combination using Intelligent Computers based on the Internet of Things (IoT) is important in the scientific community since it provides a multi-factor authentication system, increases security for homes, offices, and other secure facilities.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable, however another title is suggested to catch the interest of the readers. "Intelligent IoT-Based Door Systems: Facial Recognition and Push Button Integration"</p> <p>The article contents are comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections are appropriate. However, there is a need to organize it accordingly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>In the Methodology section</b>, start with the Waterfall Model. This model consists of six (6) phases.</li> <li>- <b>In Data Gathering phase</b>, state what are the actual activities done and the data that are needed.</li> <li>- <b>In Design phase</b>, this sections should include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Hardware Design</b></li> <li>- <b>Tool Design Scheme / Block Diagram</b> and the diagram discussions</li> <li>- <b>Tool Design Process</b>, instead of attaching/putting a picture with a man assembling the part, it is suggest to put/attach the circuit diagram.</li> </ul> </li> <li>- <b>In Implementation phase</b>, in tabular format, list the materials that are used and its specifications. You can also attach the program code here.</li> <li>- <b>In Deployment phase</b>, state what are the activities that have been done.</li> <li>- <b>In Maintenance phase</b>, state what are the activities that have been done.</li> </ul> <p>- In the <b>Results and Discussion</b> section it is suggested that present only the testing that have been done and its equivalent results and discuss the results.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Some of the references are outdated. It is suggested that references should be 5 years backwards only from this date.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Myelinda A. Baldevar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>North Eastern Mindanao State University, Philippines</b>