

Editor's Comment:

I have reviewed the manuscript, and make an editorial decision as "Rejection".

The comments are as follows.

1. Field experiment should be conducted in multiple cropping seasons and/or multiple locations to keep the reproducibility of results obtained in field experiments of soybean.

2. There is possibly difference of response to sulfur sources and levels among soybean varieties (genotypes).

You had better assess multiple number of soybean varieties. You should indicate data on GxE and discuss

the most suitable genotype for better response to sulfur and increased yielding capacity.

3. All the data obtained in multiple years, locations and varieties are statistically analyzed (ANOVA).

4. Although the results can be applied to farmers of soybean, scientific and academic levels of this study is very primitive.

5. Style of the manuscript should be modified by adding abstract to the manuscript and combining "Results and Discussion" with "Conclusion". Discussion is so poor that it should be improved using recent publications.

6. Data shown Table 1 are completely same as data shown in Fig. 1- Fig.6 which can be deleted.

7. References cited in the manuscript are too old. Recent publications should be involved.

Finally, I conclude that this manuscript cannot be accepted for the publication in the journal, ACRI.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Kazutoshi Okuno
Former Professor, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan.