

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_120046
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulation and analysis of the dynamics of 2K-V gearbox based on RecurDyn
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>First of all, I would like to congratulate the authors for their excellent work.</p> <p>As a suggestion to qualify the research, I suggest including the following references, as a way of adding to the research:</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-04435-9_20</p> <p>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s42835-023-01686-7</p> <p>https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3561801.3561817</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-08545-1_24</p> <p>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s44163-023-00059-3</p> <p>https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-04435-9_26</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Thank you very much!</p>	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Gomes De Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Unicamp, Brazil