

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_118950 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Unravelling Soil-Crop Dynamics: Bridging Soil Test Fertilizer Dose with Hybrid Rice Yield and Nutrient Optimization Strategies in Chhattisgarh Plains |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes, and this work is very relevant for the present time.</p> <p>3. Please clarify your hypothesis and objectives.</p> <p>4. Seems to be difficult to understand.</p> <p>5. I am concerned about how to calculate some data</p> <p>6. about 30-40 % recently references</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | yes | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>I am concerned about how to calculate some results such as (3.4 Contribution of Nutrients from Soil, Fertilizers, and FYM to Hybrid Rice)</p> <p>I am not sure the author show how to calculate or express the method and where come form these results. Please rewrite the to easy understanding.</p> <p>Please give the descriptions for all the information and numbers in a very single table.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Name: | Suphathida Aumtong |
| Department, University & Country | Maejo University, Thailand |