

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_120606
Title of the Manuscript:	HYPERCYCLICITY CRITERION ON BASIC ELEMENTARY OPERATOR
Type of the Article	Short research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The scientific paper is good but needs to be modified/ 1- Rewrite the abstract 2-The introduction needs more writing on the topic. Paying attention to the time aspect 3-Add examples. 4- Add a new section. Very little work</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Addition word about work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	somewhat. The work needs to add a new section	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is considered a fairly good job	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =	1- The search needs to adjust the mathematical symbols and signs. 2- Add illustrations. 3- Research must be supported by scientific evidence and logical steps. 4- Add recent references. 5- The paper needs to add examples of the basic definitions mentioned in the paper. 6- The introduction needs to mention the chronology of the work. 7- Adjust mathematics symbols. 8- The paper contains a very high percentage of similarity. (Reduce the similarity). 9- Add old sources to the introduction and references	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To some extent	
Optional/General comments	Add recent references. - The search needs to adjust the mathematical symbols and signs. 2- Add illustrations. 3- Research must be supported by scientific evidence and logical steps. 4- Add recent references. 5- The paper needs to add examples of the basic definitions mentioned in the paper. 6- The introduction needs to mention the chronology of the work. 7- Adjust mathematics symbols. 8- The paper contains a very high percentage of similarity. (Reduce the similarity). 9- Add old sources to the introduction and references	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa Hasan Hadi
Department, University & Country	University of Babylon, Iraq